NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | October 9, 1939 | Subscription: Three Dollars per Year | 10c

REVOLT OF YOUTH RISING TO DEFY WAR PLANNERS

THE DOUGHBOY'S SON WILL NOT FALL FOR WAR

LETTERS TO CONGRESS INDICATE YOUNG MEN SEE THROUGH THE PLOT

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Millions of letters and telegrams from all sections of the nation continue to voice the people's will against any tampering with the arms embargo. At the same time, here in Washington, there is quietly going forward the determined secret plans of the war makers to defeat this public will.

Parliamentary strategy in Congress appears to seek any compromise with neutrality that will still permit America to supply arms to warring England—*as per private understanding long ago entered into!*

Meantime, "inside" pressure has been renewed to silence, if possible, the warning voice of Father Coughlin. The war planners simply "cannot get to first base" if the Radio Voice from Royal Oak continues to expose their tricks week after week.

On the other hand, many hopeful signs of sound Americanism appear at the Capital. There is admirable adamantcy about the stand of those few fine Senators and Congressmen who, even if outnumbered, intend to fight to the last ditch to resist any compromise with neutrality, any lifting of the arms embargo, any move toward war as Britain's ally.

Those Congressmen who have been closely analyzing their huge flood of mail have found that, far from being the "inspired" protest that the President talks about, these are not "form letters" but the earnest individual expression of an aroused citizenry.

And what is even more significant are the numbers of young men from 21 to 35 *who say they will not fight in a war that results from repeal of the arms embargo.* This revolt of youth, which started quietly here these past few days, threatens to become a veritable prairie fire.

These boys of draft age do not recall the last war, but they are not going to be caught up in any fine frenzy of patriotism which the propagandists may turn on, to march away behind braying bands to the lark of a training camp and the grim disillusion of mud and rats in trenches —and cannon fodder! No, the "grand adventure of war" is not going to wash with this generation of draftees. John Doughboy's son thinks Dad was a "sap."

Today's youth want jobs and a chance at life. They are fast growing impatient with politicians who offer them the deceptive adventure of war in place of a "break."

FROM THE TOWER *By* Father Coughlin ★ **THE REAL ISSUES OF WAR** *By* Dr. J. S. Barnes ★ **MAKING PEACE IMPOSSIBLE**

DINNER CHATS

From the Staff

Germany Watching Neutrality Revision

THE IMPORTANCE of Father Coughlin's warning against any change in the present Neutrality Act by the United States was revealed in Berlin dispatches this past week. This intelligence states that any such revision that favors Great Britain and France exclusively would force Germany to take what it termed "proper defense measures."

It grows increasingly apparent that any attempt on the part of the Administration to aid Great Britain will be regarded as an unfriendly act on the part of the United States Government. "Proper defense measures" in the official Berlin dispatch can mean only one thing — retaliatory steps against this country.

In the last war such measures took the form of the unrestricted submarine warfare that was the direct means whereby public hatred was stirred to such a pitch that it was easy to bring about intervention by this country on the side of the Allies. What Germany's measures will be this time only the German high command knows. But be assured that it will be seized upon by the warmongers as an excuse to precipitate America into the European shambles.

Repeal of the neutral arms embargo for a "cash-and-carry" subterfuge to help a selected group of belligerents is an inevitable first step toward the final step of war.

Write Down Their Names!

MARK WELL the list of United States Senators and Congressmen who vote to lift the arms embargo.

Keep that list for five years!

And then *fix the blame for America's plight five years from today where it belongs.*

Clip this item, if you will, and remember that we told you so.

'Nothing Is Lost by Peace, All in War!'

"NOTHING IS LOST *by peace*, but all may be lost in war." The world thrilled to this truth voiced from the very citadel of Truth by His Holiness Pope Pius XII. After the war had come in Europe the same voice was raised again to say:

"Recourse to force is beginning to unveil its incalculable fearful consequences. One of the saddest episodes in the political history of all times, which cannot be eradicated from the world's conscience after a century and a half, is being repeated."

And the *Detroit Times*, quoting His Holiness editorially, adds:

"Red Russia hovers over warring Europe like a giant vulture, callous to human suffering, indifferent to the fates of nations and peoples alike, *waiting only to gorge itself upon the exhausted and defenseless remains of European civilization.*"

This is a clear and honest judgment, uttered by a newspaper that had the courage to say it.

Film of History Is Being Rerun

HISTORY, LEFT to itself, runs on like a continuous strip of motion picture film with the ends glued together. Today, step by step, we see the same pictures on the screen that were shown the world in February, 1917.

In that month President Wilson decided to summon Congress to "devise ways and means of keeping the United States out of the World War."

To the assembled Congress he stated that he was not "proposing or contemplating war or any steps that may lead to war." But—and it is a very important "but"—he asked that Congress give him ***"the means and authority to safeguard in practice the rights of a great people."***

How familiar that phrase sounds! Today, Congress is convened in extraordinary session, called by the President to revise the Neutrality Act. The President has said that a "return to international law" will be sounder neutrality than our present embargo on arms to all belligerents.

Preceding the last war, President Wilson demanded of Congress the right to arm merchant shipping. But, try as he might, he could not jam such provocative legislation past a militant bloc of 11 Senators. In desperation, Mr. Wilson turned to his Attorney General for help. Nor did he turn in vain for the Attorney *handed him a decision that permitted the arming without the enabling consent of Congress!*

Prior to America's entry into the first World War, President Wilson established some other useful precedents which his Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Franklin Roosevelt, must have remembered.

One of the most high-handed acts ever taken by any President of this country concerned the Draft Act of 1917. Before the Act went through Congress, before it was much more than a vague fear in the minds of American mothers, the President ordered, through Major (now General) Hugh S. Johnson, the printing by the Public Printer of more than 10-million draft registration blanks.

This was done secretly, when there was not yet so much as a Draft Act on the books!

Once more America stands amid nations at war. Once more a special session of Congress has been summoned by the American President to consider the war emergency. Words by the millions pour from the controlled presses, and are shouted at us through radio channels. Financial and political pressure is at its very peak. Only by a cataclysmic deluge of letters and telegrams poured in upon their Representatives can the people's will be heard.

Already powerful forces are well at work to thwart the expressed wishes of the people. Definitely, plans for our part in the next war are already under way.

Christianity and Communism

"Communists like to repeat that the early Church was communistic, as Christians in Jerusalem divided their goods among themselves. But only the Church of Jerusalem tried the experiment, and no other Church repeated it, for it was a failure. But what both Christians and Communists often overlook is that in the early Church there was no class distinction, for everybody ate at the same table the Eucharistic meal; and St. Paul roundly upbraided the Christians for making class distinctions even there, when he blamed the rich for taking their own private wines and meat to the Table of Brotherhood. This beautiful feature of early communion has been lost in the formalism of present-day communion. Early communion was homely, informal, class-leveling; present day communion is formal, ceremonial and stiff: in a sense, 'too spiritual.' There may be more union with God in the present system, but there was more union among men in the old system, and it is difficult to say which is the better. Today we can only recall the principle, without being able to restore the practice."

—Msgr. Jackman in the *"Holy Roodlets"*

Warning! Renew Now!

In addition to the date of expiration of your subscription, printed on the address stencil of the magazine as it comes to your address every week, the Circulation Department of SOCIAL JUSTICE mails out a warning notice to each reader whose subscription is about to expire.

If you neglect to renew your subscription promptly, your card will be pulled and you will miss several important editions of SOCIAL JUSTICE. At best it requires two weeks to get the paper coming again, and if the mail is heavy it may take four weeks.

The office frequently receives letters from disturbed subscribers who cannot find a SOCIAL JUSTICE salesman from whom to purchase individual copies pending the delay. While SOCIAL JUSTICE is making a desperate effort to cover the field with our sales forces, many areas are not yet adequately taken care of. We need many more distributors.

Just at this time you cannot afford to miss a single issue of your National Magazine. If the warmongers succeed in their strategy of shutting Father Coughlin off the air, SOCIAL JUSTICE must again become his only voice and contact with his millions of radio listeners.

Furthermore, Father Coughlin each week is able to write much more extensively, and give many facts that he does not have time for over the air.

Make sure about your renewal of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. Check now with the figures on the address stencil and see when your subscription expires. The figures 10 39 mean October, 1939, and your subscription is already at an end. Likewise, 11 39 and 12 39 are warning signals that you have only a few weeks to go. Better renew now. The appended coupon is printed for your convenience.

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date .....

Name .....

Address ..... City .....

State ..... Subscription rate, $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1939 by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 4A October 9, 1939 No. 15

STATEMENT OF THE OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT, CIRCULATION, ETC., REQUIRED BY THE ACTS OF CONGRESS OF AUGUST 24, 1912, AND MARCH 3, 1933 of Social Justice, published weekly at Royal Oak, Michigan, for October 1, 1939.

State of Michigan, County of Oakland — ss.

Before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and county aforesaid, personally appeared E. Perrin Schwartz, who, having been duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the Editor of Social Justice and that the following is, to the best of his knowledge and belief, a true statement of the ownership, management (and if a daily paper, the circulation), etc. of the aforesaid publication for the date shown in the above caption, required by the Act of August 24, 1912, as amended by the Act of March 3, 1933, embodied in section 537, Postal Laws and Regulations, printed on the reverse of this form, to-wit:

1. That the names and addresses of the publisher, editor, managing editor, and business managers are: Publisher — Social Justice Publishing Co., Royal Oak, Michigan. Editor — E. Perrin Schwartz, Royal Oak, Michigan. Managing Editor —E. Perrin Schwartz, Royal Oak, Michigan. Business Manager—Leo F. Reardon, Royal Oak, Michigan.

2. That the owner is: (If owned by a corporation, its name and address must be stated and also immediately thereunder the names and addresses of stockholders owning or holding one per cent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, the names and addresses of the individual owners must be given. If owned by a firm, company, or other unincorporated concern, its name and address, as well as those of each individual member, must be given.) Social Justice Poor Society, Royal Oak, Michigan: Alberta Ward, Trustee, Royal Oak, Michigan; Marie Rhodes, Trustee, Royal Oak, Michigan and Bernice Marcinkiewicz, Trustee, Royal Oak, Michigan.

3. That the known bondholders, mortgagees, and other security holders owning or holding 1 per cent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages, or other securities are: (If there are none, so state.)—None.

4. That the two paragraphs next above, giving the names of the owners, stockholders, and security holders, if any, contain not only the list of stockholders and security holders as they appear upon the books of the company but also, in cases where the stockholder or security holder appears upon the books of the company as trustee or in any other fiduciary relation, the name of the person or corporation for whom such trustee is acting, is given; also that the said two paragraphs contain statements embracing affiant's full knowledge and belief as to the circumstances and conditions under which stockholders and security holders who do not appear upon the books of the company as trustees, hold stock and securities in a capacity other than that of a bona fide owner, and this affiant has no reason to believe that any other person, association, or corporation has any interest direct or indirect in the said stock, bonds, or other securities than as so stated by him.

5. That the average number of copies of each issue of this publication sold or distributed, through the mails or otherwise, to paid subscribers during the twelve months preceding, the date shown above is ..... (This information is required from daily publications only.)

E. PERRIN SCHWARTZ.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 26th day of September, 1939.

(Seal.) CATHERINE G. SMITH, Notary Public.

My commission expires August 31, 1940.

Note.—This statement must be made in duplicate and both copies delivered by the publisher to the postmaster, who shall send one copy to the Third Assistant Postmaster General (Division of Classification), Washington, D. C., and retain the other in the files of the post office. The publisher must publish a copy of this statement in the second issue printed next after its filing.

Behind THE NEWS
★
by "Yours Truly"

ON SUNDAY EVENING, October 1, the Honorable Alfred E. Smith of New York took to the air over a national hook-up to express his opinion on neutrality. He advocated publicly the lifting of the embargo and expressed his approval of President Roosevelt's policy of cash and carry to save democracy and civilization. Crises — even the permanently recurring crises of Roosevelt—bring together strange bedfellows, purged and purger, radicals and conservatives, New Dealer and anti-New Dealer, Roosevelt and Smith. The moguls of money have a way to pull the strings on their minions and marionettes. It is not surprising that the Paleys of the CBS threw open the switches for a national broadcast.

Al forgot to answer a few pertinent questions. When and why was the neutrality legislation with its arms embargo enacted?

"Let's look at the record!" The neutrality law was enacted *on the eve of a presidential election to insure the election of a Chief Executive who would keep America out of war and war out of America.*

Didn't many of the persons and organizations acting as sponsors and supporters of Mr. Smith's broadcast advocate lifting the embargo for Loyalist Spain when Franco had driven the Reds to the wall?

* * *

Why didn't Al Smith divest himself of the quasi-official position he holds in the Church when he was consorting and co-operating with Communists and opposing the position of the Church? The record of history might afford Ku Kluxers of other generations the story of a Knight of St. Gregory leading the boys of America to slaughter in foreign war.

The radicals and liberals saw to it that Al Smith's recent criticism of the priests of the Brooklyn diocese was widely publicized in local papers and in Mr. Raymond Clapper's syndicated column. Mr. Smith is reported to have remarked that he was "surprised and shocked to hear priests asking people to write to their Congressmen protesting the lifting of the embargo," practically in the same words which Father Coughlin spoke some four hours later!

The "Governor" ought to take time off from his relations with liberals and radicals and study the peace program of the Catholic Church. Of course, the Governor didn't like it because he couldn't "take" a clergyman's oposition to his opinion on the neutrality question. To express his discomfiture he told a friend about the "preaching of politics from the pulpit."

If neutrality, war, embargo, death, mutilation and destruction are political questions, then Al doesn't know even his politics. . . . They are certainly moral and religious questions. Mr. Smith's fellow Catholics had the greatest proportion of any religious group in the country engaged in the World War. Since Catholics will be called as citizen soldiers in the next war, it doesn't seem illogical for Catholic priests, many of whom will be soldiers, to discuss the unethical and immoral lifting of the embargo.

Yours truly

18,000 at Cleveland Peace Rally Applaud Father Coughlin's Plea

Archbishop of Dubuque, in Open Letter to Borah, Asks Strict Neutrality

The Honorable William E. Borah
United States Senator from Idaho
Washington, D. C.
My dear Senator Borah:

Allow me to express my deep personal gratification at the patriotism, the wisdom, the courageous determination evidenced in your present stand on neutrality insofar as it may affect the United States and the European war. It is most heartening to observe that in this hour of crisis, there are those in Congress who will listen for and hear the united voice of the American people above the fierce clamor of those unscrupulous individuals who would involve us again in a mad European slaughter.

There can be no doubt that the majority of our people are behind you and your valiant group in the fight to maintain intact the arms embargo of the present neutrality law. You *must know* what all America suspects as the motive behind the agitation for repeal of the embargo clause — that there are those, who while professing a desire for peace, are inwardly planning in terms of war; that there are those also, who believing they are right, have been blinded to the consequences of their action; and that still others remain undecided on this momentous issue. It is the individuals of these two latter groups which you and your confreres must endeavor to enlighten and to win over *for the cause of genuine Americanism.*

With a wisdom born of long experience in Governmental affairs and taking your fight to the people *daily*, this battle against the lifting of the arms embargo *can be won.* The real significance of our firm stand on this and all other issues affecting adversely our neutrality will be to serve notice on *all* European belligerents that we the people are in control here, that we will have no part of their ruthless butchery or traffic in arms contributing to the same, and that, while sympathizing with the oppressed peoples of all lands, we are finally determined *to keep America out!*

Our Friends Have the Most to Gain

Everyone knows where the American sympathies lie in this war *but it is precisely this factor which is most*

(Continued on Page 18)

ARCHBISHOP'S HOUSE
ELEVENTH AND BLUFF STREET
DUBUQUE, IOWA

September,28th,1939

Rev.Charles E.Coughlin,
Shrine of the Little Flower,
Detroit,Michigan.

My dear Father Coughlin,

I am with you in spirit tonight;I send my representative, Mr.Wendell Schroeder,to participate in your meeting. Whatever may be said,your courage is inspiring and the cause of Truth is always just.I cannot stand apart from you in this critical hour of need.God bless you!God bless and protect America from its enemies! God help our leaders to keep the peace by keeping to the road of peace!

+ Francis Beckman

Francis J.L.Beckman,
Archbishop of Dubuque

The above letter, read by Dr. Edward Lodge Curran at the Cleveland peace rally, was greeted by deafening cheers by the vast audience of 18,000 Christian Americans. Archbishop Beckman's open letter to Senator William A. Borah to carry on his fight to hold the embargo appears in another column of today's edition.

Address Also Delivered by Father Curran

Enthusiastic Crowds Pack 3 Large Halls; Police Co-operate in Success

CLEVELAND, OHIO — An audience of 18,000 typical Americans—an audience which filled both the vast halls and basement of Cleveland's municipal auditorium, packed the Little Theater nearby and massed on the sidewalks outside to listen to the loudspeakers—cheered Father Coughlin and Dr. Edward Lodge Curran on the night of Thursday, September 28.

Cleveland's peace rally was by far the outstanding demonstration so far held under auspices of Social Justice groups. Rev. Father Cyril Keating, assistant pastor of the Shrine of the Little Flower at Royal Oak, Michigan, presided as chairman.

Father Coughlin's address, much of which was incorporated in the following Sunday's broadcast (see page 5), was delivered in person. He agreed to speak personally in Cleveland, instead of by long distance telephone, when it was early arranged that Archbishop Joseph Schrembs would preside at the meeting. His Excellency however, was unable to attend.

Father Curran, introduced as "Public Enemy No. 1 of the Communists," reviewed the New York repercussions of the Nazi-Stalin pact, the mockery of unpaid war debts, the myth of the League of Nations and a whole litany of propagandist promises made and broken during the last war—promises which led American patriots to their deaths in foreign battlefields and sent their homeland into a depression verging on bankruptcy.

To refute the charge that Social Justice clubs are not "kosher" with ecclesiastical authority, Dr. Curran recited the permission of his own superior, Bishop Malloy of Brooklyn, which enables Dr. Curran to carry on his speaking; the expressed approval of Archbishop M. J. Curley of Baltimore; and read the letter from Archbishop Beckman of Dubuque, which appears in an adjoining column. In St. Louis, Dr. Curran explained, Archbishop Glennon has named three clergymen of the dioceses to be moderators of Social Justice clubs.

To the indefatigable efforts of a large Cleveland committee working under Captain William Miller was due in great part the Cleveland rally's success. The city police and fire departments both rendered signal service in assuring one of the most orderly, smoothly run meetings to be held anywhere in the nation.

Wanted!

10,000 more distributors for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

Write or wire Circulation Department for information on how you can become a distributor for this National Weekly.

Winner of the Soviet-Nazi Pact: Leon Trotzky, Arch Plotter and Revolutionist

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Danger of a Marxist revolution in this country has not abated with unmasking of Josef Stalin, the Caucasian brigand whose bloody hand has just clasped that of Adolf Hitler. The sinister Fourth International, led by Lev Davidovich Bronstein—better known as Leon Trotzky—has entrenched itself securely in the New York area under the protection of Mayor Fiorello LaGuardia.

Tens of thousands of adherents of Earl Browder's Communist Party have fled the Stalinists. Unfortunately, instead of aligning themselves with the American Christian patriots who have pledged a finish fight on the Reds, they have blundered into the camp of their co-racialist, Trotzky, whose underlings have organized the Socialist-Labor Party.

The Socialist-Labor Party, predominantly Jewish, has grown by leaps and bounds since the capitulation of Stalin to Hitler. It condones bloody revolution as a remedy for the ills of the present capitalistic system. The Party is wholly subservient to Trotzky, who is at present in exile in Mexico. Agitation is even now under way to obtain the approval of the present national administration for the reentry of Trotzky into the United States.

The Gyrations of a Red Star

Stalin is a brutal, outright, international thug. Trotzky, however, is infinitely more dangerous to America. What is the past history of this man, to whom the Communists have transferred their allegiance? He was born in Yanovka, Kherson Province, Russia, in 1879. His father, David Bronstein, was a merchant in the Yanovka Ghetto.

Young Bronstein received a fairly good education in the Talmudic schools of his native city. Soon, however, he decided that religion was a farce, and he plunged into the maelstrom of Marxist plotting which had Russia in turmoil at the turn of the century. Seized by the Tsarist secret police, Bronstein was sent to Siberia in exile.

Like his prototype, Adolf Hitler, he turned to writing while in jail. His success was instantaneous. Nicolai Lenin, at that time in London, hearing of the young genius, imprisoned in Siberia, engineered his escape. Two years after his capture by the Czarist police, young Bronstein was free. He went to London, where he joined the staff of a newspaper published by Lenin.

In 1905, when the Russian serfs rebelled against the oppression of Czar Nicholas, Bronstein sped home, and arrived in St. Petersburg in time to be nabbed once more by the Czar's agents and thrown in jail. Another escape was engineered, after which he wandered through Europe, spreading the gospel of Karl Marx, among the proletariat of Germany, Austria, Switzerland, France and Spain.

In 1917, Bronstein turned up in New York City, under the name of Leon Trotzky. At this time he was still living with his wife, Alexandra Lvovna, and their two small children. Subsequently, he discarded her for Natalya Ivanovna, who is now living with him in Mexico. (He never bothered to secure a divorce.)

After the Czarist government collapsed, Trotzky's American Jewish friends collected a sufficient sum to send him to join Comrade Lenin's Bolsheviks. He hastened to Russia, where he organized the Red Army, and became the first Soviet foreign minister.

Blood flowed from the swords of Trotzky's Red legions. Under his conscienceless leadership, the Bolshevik troops wrought a terrible vengeance on the aristocracy of Russia, and an even more terrible fate befell the innocent peasantry. The Trotzky Terror continued until the death of his sponsor, Lenin, in 1923. Then his red star waned. Joseph Stalin reduced him in rank by degrees, finally exiled him in 1929.

Following his third exile, Trotzky was buffeted around Europe — no nation willing to give him refuge for any extended period. Then, in 1934, he received an invitation from Marxist Mexico, where he has since lived, plotting for a resurrection of his own bloody brand of Bolshevism.



WINSTON CHURCHILL

Churchill Asks for Our 'Respect' —and Doughboys

THE NEWS: Britain called up youths of from 20 to 22 years of age, with the object of increasing the army by 250,000 men. This move was synchronized with a speech by Winston Churchill in which the First Lord of the Admiralty reaffirmed the Allies' determination to fight Hitlerism "to the finish" and in which among other things he said:

"We are entitled to the respect and good will of the world and particularly of the United States."

COMMENT: Word and action could not have been better timed to arouse American sympathies at this moment, when Great Britain has to contemplate the possibility of the war breaking out in full fury. It is safe to say that no nation in Europe faces this prospect with anything like equanimity (scheming Russia excepted) and England is particularly vulnerable. On the other hand, Mr. Churchill feels he can turn for comfort to good old Cousin Sam and between the lines of his speech you can read: "See, we are forced to throw the flower of our youth against the homicidal Hun! Are you, our cousins across the sea, going to stand by and not send over a few hundred thousand of *your* young men to make the world safe for democracy and end all wars?"

If Mr. Churchill wants England to win "the respect and good will" of the United States he should abandon his life-long practice of thumping the soap-box and come down to realities; or does he think that the American young men of 1939 have forgotten the faces of the young men who came back in 1919?

N.Y. Reds Fling an Ultimatum at Archbishop

By a Staff Correspondent

NEW YORK, N. Y.—The New York magazine *Equality*, founded some six months ago to fight the ever-growing Christian Front movement, has just issued an insulting "ultimatum" to Archbishop Francis J. Spellman. A 15-page open letter to the New York prelate, signed by 20 members of the editorial roster, says in part:

"The Christian Front is a co-ordinating center for a united front of various anti-Semitic, Fascist and Nazi groups . . . The issuance of a public statement (by the head of the diocese) would have a most salutary effect in checking a movement threatening to create an anarchic situation in New York City at the very time of a world crisis, when unity is needed more than ever before."

The letter concludes with this threat: "Continued silence on this extremely serious question by the Archbishop of New York *will be interpreted as implicit sanction of the Christian Front in this city.*"

Scribes and Pharisees Fear Christian Soldiers

A glance at the signatures under *Equality's* excited outcry reveals enough: 12 of the 20 scribes so solicitous for Peace in Our Town have well known Jewish names and most of the others are familiar figures in anti-Christian and agnostic circles. The purpose of their strategy is plain enough. Unable to check the steady growth of the Christian Front by discriminatory laws and by flying wedges of hoodlums, the Reds have so far succumbed to panic as to address a threat to the ecclesiastical superior of the world's largest and perhaps most powerful Catholic diocese.

They ignore the fact that the Christian Front has, time after time, gone on record as opposing any co-operation with Nazi-philes and particularly with the Brown shirt minions of Fritz Kuhn. They ignore the fact that the Christian Front, far from attempting to create "an anarchic situation" in a time of crisis, grew spontaneously out of the existence of just such a situation in New York City. They ignore the fact that LaGuardia, the "Little Red Flower of Manhattan," has repeatedly encouraged the anti-Christian hoodlums and attempted to put pressure on courts and police officials, hoping to disperse and destroy the soldiers of Christ. And they seem to ignore the fact that Archbishop Spellman is an intelligent and experienced prelate, not a politician to be swayed by vociferous threats blown into his ear with trumpets of false metal.

All Christians want peace. When the New York anti-Christian front recognizes the existence of crisis and the possibility of chaos and calls off its Scribes, Pharisees and vinegar-bearing soldiery, then there will be no need for a defensive Christian Front.

Prevailing Wage May Be Restored to W.P.A. Workers

THE NEWS: Attorney General Frank Murphy has instructed W.P.A. Commissioner F. C. Harrington that prevailing union wage rates could be restored legally to skilled relief workers. The decision whether to restore the former rates now rests solely with Harrington.

COMMENT: Last July, when thousands of W.P.A. workers walked out in a protest strike against abolition of prevailing union wage scales, the President, Attorney General Murphy, a majority of Congressmen and Col. Harrington declared themselves opposed to the strike. The President and Col. Harrington were known to have supported the legislation, which became effective July 1, abolishing the prevailing wage rates.

SOCIAL JUSTICE contended their arguments were sound — that the Government, in giving relief work to the unemployed, should not be forced to pay those recipients of its charity wages equal to those paid by private employers. Not only do high relief wages stifle initiative of the clients, but they drain the public treasury and accomplish nothing in the way of real economic recovery. They do not achieve the objective of restoring private employment to the jobless.

The Attorney General, however, now has uncovered what he believes to be a loop-hole in the Relief Act abandoning union scales. He based his ruling, according to reports, on a section of the measure which authorizes several exemptions. The section allows exemptions "to protect work already done on a project; to permit making up of lost time; in the case of an emergency involving public welfare and in case of supervisory personnel employed on relief projects."

Under Mr. Murphy's construction of the Act this clause eliminates practically all skilled W.P.A. workers inasmuch as most projects were begun before the law became effective.

Who Is Protecting Browder?

THE NEWS: Although Earl Browder, executive secretary of the Communist Party in the United States, admitted before the Dies Committee on subversive and un-American Activities that he had traveled abroad for two years on a false passport, the State and Justice Departments as yet have taken no step toward prosecuting him.

The use of a false passport, officials in Washington pointed out, is an offense punishable by a fine of not more than $2,000 or a maximum imprisonment of 5 years, or both.

COMMENT: How Browder evades prosecution for an offense which he admitted before the Dies Committee is a mystery. But this much is certain: Communists in the United States are well-entrenched in positions of importance in labor, politics and Government. Browder conceded that there were 50,000 important Communists in the labor movement, with twice as many in the C.I.O. as in the A.F.L.

At the same time, Chairman Dies estimated *that 2,875 Communists hold key positions in the United States Government.* He said he had informa- that "known Communists and Fascists" soon would be purged from these Government jobs.

Department of Justice officials said they had received no request to prosecute Browder, and that the Department is "too busy" to initiate prosecution. State Department officials said nothing had been done about turning over evidence against Browder to the Department of Justice.

It is difficult to understand these things; difficult unless one assumes that there is a general indifference in Government to the social menace of Communism. Why should a passport-falsifier go scot free if he admits his offense? Browder was involved in passport trouble in 1930, but the case was dismissed for lack of evidence. If the evidence now is in the hands of the State Department why should it not be used?

If the Department of Justice is "too busy" to prosecute offenses against the United States, it might be well to add to the personnel, or hire an efficiency expert. There is no greater menace abroad in the world today than Communism, and in no land are the wrecking Reds given freer rein than in the United States. This condition must be stopped.

FROM THE TOWER

This Is Stalin's War!

Today's Tower editorial is a condensation of Father Coughlin's important radio address to the nation on the afternoon of Sunday, October 1. Copies of the complete text of the broadcast entitled, "*Lifting the Embargo—a Victory for the Vulture,*" may be had by writing directly to Father Coughlin.
—The Editor.

TIME IS TOO PRECIOUS to indulge in criticizing those critics, who, all week long, have been minimizing both the number of letters and telegrams sent to Washington and the character of the letter writers. It is of more importance for us to continue carrying on our campaign for peace through the instrumentality of a strict neutrality act.

A restatement of our thesis, therefore, is opportune. We believe that the best interests of Americanism are served by adhering to the policy of no foreign entanglements.

We believe that this general policy of no foreign entanglements should be defined in a concrete neutrality act.

We believe that this definition should incorporate clauses prohibiting Americans traveling on ships of belligerent nations and prohibiting American ships from sailing in belligerent waters.

We believe that we should sell to foreign belligerents, only those commodities which are not classified as munitions or war materials and we believe that these non-war commodities, such as food and clothing, should be paid for in cash—not credit—and transported in the ships of the belligerents at their own risk.

In a word, we believe that when foreign nations are engaged in war, we should not become involved in their quarrels by selling them either munitions or instruments of war, lest we become an arsenal for one and a target for another.

* * *

Credit Substituted for 'Cash' and Carry

It will soon be a month since I began discussing this topic of "cash-and-carry." On September 10th, I predicted that, if the embargo were lifted, it would soon be discovered that credit would be substituted for cash. Four days ago, this point of view was vindicated: *The Senate Foreign Relations Committee reported its intention of including a clause in the Neutrality Bill permitting America to sell to foreign belligerents munitions, armaments and materials for war on the basis of a 90 days' credit!* That is an important point.

This substitution of credit for cash was anticipated by all observers who appreciated the inability of both Great Britain and France to pay honest cash. Conclusions were based not only on the past record of Great Britain and France, but on the financial fact that these two empires had no more than $10-billion of available cash to use in any project!

* * *

Congress to Invoke 'Gag' Rule in Debate

A most important point of interest deals with the matter in which Congress will vote either to retain the embargo on arms or to lift it. ***No adequate opportunity will be afforded the House of Representatives either TO DISCUSS THE MERITS OR DEMERITS OF THE NEW NEUTRALITY BILL, or even to write the bill.***

A parliamentary rule, sometimes called the "gag rule," will be invoked to limit the discussion of the neutrality bill to an inconsequential period of time.

Several months ago the House of Representatives passed the Bloom neutrality bill—the "cash-and-carry" substitute for our present neutrality. This bill then went to the Senate, were every particle of it was stricken out by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. This means that the final important legislation will not be written either by the House of Representatives or by the Senate of the United States, but by a Conference Committee of which Mr. Sol. Bloom, as chairman of the House Foreign Relations Committee, is a ranking member.

The House of Representatives will be permitted only to vote on the bill as a whole *after it emerges from the Conference Committee!*

Candidly, this is discouraging to those who oppose the lifting of the embargo. The House of Representatives, from which body we expected so much support, will operate under a gag rule, and at least two-thirds of the Senators will sustain the Administration bill. Even the purgees—gentlemen like Mr. Tydings of Maryland—even the bitterest opponents of Mr. Roosevelt and his financial policies—men like Mr. Carter Glass of Virginia—are solidly behind the proposition of credit-and-carry and lifting the embargo.

Also many Senators and some Representatives have paid no heed to the millions of letters which you mailed to Washington. We, the people, in contacting our Representatives exercised the only democratic right of petition which the Constitution affords us. Although our letters have outnumbered the opponents 10 to 1, it appears that the latter, in many cases, were more influential than the former. *You, the majority group, in these instances, have been out-pressured by the minority.* And that minority group counts amongst its members, the international bankers, the international politicians, the war profiteers and the foreign propagandists—persons who think more of possibly involving America in war through lifting the embargo than they do of preserving peace by keeping America out of the blood business. Every sign indicates that this minority group will dominate the Senators and Representatives.

An 'Arsenal' for One and 'Target' for Others

Let us take a realistic view of what the lifting of the embargo entails for America. There is a world of wisdom in Senator Vandenberg's warning that *if we become an arsenal for one group of nations, we will become a target for another.* Lifting the embargo makes us an arsenal for Britain and France and a target for Russia and Germany. To my mind, it means war for the United States—war within a year! All promises to the contrary—and I hope I am a pessimist—the young men of this nation will be under arms—yes, in the trenches—if the present European war is not terminated within a year.

Already a devastating army has invaded our shores! Sworn to world revolution, its members have pervaded the ranks of labor, the halls of education, the pulpits of religion and the offices of government. Their leaders have been taken to our very bosom by an official act of recognition, November, 1933. According to the Dies Committee, *more than 2,800 Bolsheviks occupy places of prominence in public offices. Numbering more than 100,000, these followers of Stalin, independent of their fellow travelers, are a living menace within our gates.* They have blueprinted the arteries of communication—the telephone, telegraph and power lines—. In view of their past performances in Mexico and in Spain, we will not be disappointed if they are prepared to destroy them; if they plan to poison our water supplies, to bomb our factories, to attack defenseless citizens with deadly germs and to sabotage our battleships.

Before Congress, in conscience, dare pass legislation to lift the embargo, thereby making us an arsenal for one group and a target for others, *let Congress and the Administration first remove the menace of the Red and Brown invisible army which threatens the civilian population of America;* which threatens our innocent working men, women and little children. Either deport them and their ideologies to Russia or Germany where they belong, or regiment them in concentration camps; for they have forfeited their citizenship and desecrated the very meaning of Americanism!

By permitting this menace to remain here—a menace that was officially recognized in 1933—Congress is inviting the Bolsheviks to make an immediate target—an easy target—of America, if the embargo will be lifted. More than a denunciation of Bolshevism must be forthcoming from Congress. In the name of the women and children and the millions of factory workers, I ask for action on this point before we will even tolerate the lifting of the embargo.

* * *

Stalin, Not Hitler, Will Win the War

In connection with this point, may I venture to predict that Adolf Hitler's regiments will not win this war. They may have been powerful enough to rape Poland, but they are not strong enough to overcome the battalions of Great Britain and France on the Western Front. They may be able to destroy London and Paris and the great metropolitan cities of these nations; they may be able to cause financial, moral and political bankruptcy for Great Britain and France, but they will not be able to win the war.

Who profited from the rape of Poland?

If the war continues, encouraged by the lifting of the embargo, the red-starred troops of Josef Stalin will be held against the day when Hitler is no more and when the resources of Great Britain are exhausted.

This is Stalin's war; this is the Communists' war; this is anti-Christ's war and this will be anti-Christ's victory unless there is an immediate peace—a victory that will extend even to the shores of America unless we rid our nation of every Communist and Nazi and keep out of the blood business.

What vulture descended to devour the corpse of Poland? It was Red Russia. And it will be Red Russia who will devour both vanquished and victor following the European war unless peace is quickly arrived at.

While it is still ethical and American to express our opinions and speculations, let us be candid enough to express the viewpoint that many of those who are supporting the credit-and-carry and the lifting of the embargo are planning, either directly or indirectly, for profits and to assist the internationalists in Britain and France. Upon closer analysis, however, their plan will prove to be a program unintentionally designed to help the Red forces of godless Russia!

* * *

Do not expect that the 12-million troops under the command of Stalin will sacrifice themselves on the Siegfried line. Stalin will let the German juggernaut spend its destructive forces against the Allies, knowing full well what will happen to all of them following the war. And once Hitler has been wiped out; once Britain and France have been weakened internally—Stalin will come like a red vulture to fatten upon the social, financial and religious corpses.

Sharing in War Not Way of Peace

Were Christ standing here today; were this a hillside in Palestine and were you those who constituted the Master's audience, I would ask you to turn your gaze upon one in your midst who interrupts the sermon—one of the Scribes or Pharisees—asking Him: "Master, what shall we do? Shall we pursue the pathways of peace or those that lead to war; shall we beat our ploughshares into swords or shall we seek prosperity by beating our swords into the instruments of peace?"

What would the Master say?

I do not know. But I do know that once he said that *they who use the sword shall perish by it!*

* * *

I need not remind you of the billions of dollars of debt—$14-billion to be exact—which we Americans cancelled for the benefits of Europeans before our entrance into the World War.

I need not remind you of the $11-billion which our Allies forgot to pay us following our entrance into the war. But I will remind you of certain expenses resulting from the last war which have escaped publicity. When our soldiers began landing on French soil the French government made us pay duty on every can of beans on every kind of food, on all the war supplies which were being shipped to France to save democracy for 50-million Frenchmen.

When the war came to an end, the French government tried to impose another duty on the same food and supplies which were left over before allowing us to bring them back home.

When it came time to send American soldiers across the Atlantic, the Allies furnished some of the necessary boats. But they charged us an average of $160 for every soldier they transported!

Despite the tremendous credit we extended to France during the war, the French government exacted payment from our Government for the damages incurred by France for the trenches which the American soldiers dug; and for the property destroyed by the exploding of American shells!

While in France our soldiers dredged their harbors, built docks and erected thousands of miles of telephone and telegraph lines—all of which remained as permanent improvements to the country.

And to top it all—and I am quoting from page 12 of last Sunday's *New York Times*: "Under French law a concession fee becomes due on each grave (of an American soldier) every fifteen years. ***When the first time limits expired following the World War, it developed that the bodies of Americans were to be exhumed and burnt in lime pits unless the fees were paid.***"

That's some of the gratitude America received for having gone into the last war to help France save democracy.

That's the gratitude America may expect if it ever enters into another war to help any other European nation!

* * *

There is a faint possibility that by Christmas, the birthday of the Prince of Peace, cannons will cease to roar and chastened leaders will decide to sit around a conference table. I base this hope upon the one substantial spiritual condition that our prayers will storm heaven with sufficient fervor to bring down this blessing; and on the one political condition that we Americans shall serve notice on all foreign nations that we will not encourage a madman's war by engaging in the blood business.

Father Coughlin

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin
Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.
E. PERRIN SCHWARTZ, *Editor*

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

Mr. Hull on International Law

IN VIEW OF the emphasis which President Roosevelt placed upon the return to international law as a basis for sanity between nations, it is highly interesting to observe the following comments which his Secretary of State Hull made before the Foreign Relations Committee in Hearings conducted during the 74th Congress, Second Session:

"We can sit here and talk about our vast range of neutral rights and about the freedom of the seas, and yet the whole neutrality situation has been virtually dormant since the War and most nations are not seriously concerned about the matter.

"Today, in any war, *we do not have stable international law and order with respect to neutral rights.*

"I am naturally enthusiastic about developing international trade; but we have a situation here where we cannot step out and say Americans have a right to go into the harbors at Naples or Genoa and trade indiscriminately, and we are ready to fight for it. Where does the American get that right and just what is it at this time? The whole of international law that we have always claimed gave him that right has been largely ignored during and since the War.

"Since the War, neither this country nor any other country has said anything about revitalizing or restoring or reasserting any phase of international law as it pertains to neutral rights on the high seas. Fifty-two nations, (referring to those who applied the sanctions against Italy), as you know, have instead gone in a direction which in chief measure disregards the question of neutral rights so far as they are concerned.

"*Now it did not look wise or reasonable for us to announce a policy that a neutral nation (like America) shall supply belligerents with the materials which they say are indispensable to the prosecution of the war. Under penalty of not being exactly neutral, to us, that seemed absurd and we said so. We could not see how a neutral could deliberately help to feed the fires and flames of war by delivering the essential materials right straight to the belligerents, helping not only to carry on war but to prolong it indefinitely; and nobody knows much better than we, that every day that war is prolonged the danger of the war spreading would be increased, with increased dangers to us of being involved.*"

The above quotations clearly indicate that America's Secretary of State for War well knows that for us to sell war materials is "deliberately helping to feed the fires and flames of war." Moreover, we are deliberately "prolonging" the slaughter. Finally, we are running the grave risk of becoming involved.

Surely President Roosevelt knows these things too. Perhaps he should review some of Mr. Hull's wise utterances and observations.

We continue to stand for no sale of munitions to European belligerents. We stand opposed to lifting the present embargo which would permit this country to feed the flames of war.

* * *

Does Law 'Favor Germany'?

"The Neutrality Act as it now stands favors Germany and works to the disadvantage of the democracies."

This is the propaganda appeal of all those who urge the repeal of the Neutrality Act's arms embargo clause and who want the unrestricted export of American arms, munitions and war materials to all belligerents, provided that these shipments be paid for in cash and carried away in the purchaser's own vessels.

Every interventionist in America, from President Roosevelt down to Abie Kabibble, the immigrant Bronx tailor, has used this theme in his argument against the isolationist viewpoint, some even going to the extremes of Assistant Secretary of War Louis Johnson, who said that failure to eliminate the arms embargo provision would be tantamount to giving Germany an Atlantic fleet.

How does this thesis stand up under examination?

The Neutrality Act in its present form restricts only the shipment of war materials and implements of war to the belligerents of Europe. No embargo has been placed on food, raw materials and manufactured goods which do not fit in the above category.

At present these latter articles are flowing across the Atlantic to Britain and France in ever increasing quantities. But because the British Navy has bottled up Germany, because the American Government has not protested the recently published British contraband list which, in direct contradiction to international law, bans such commodities as food, American trade with Germany has virtually ceased to exist.

Is this condition advantageous to Adolf Hitler, who is desperately in need of supplies?

Under the present Neutrality Act all the resources of the United States, with the exception of war materials and munitions, have been put at the disposal of the British and French Empires. Yet, Mr. Roosevelt and his followers insist that the law works to the disadvantage of the democracies.

The object of Mr. Roosevelt's misstatements, of course, is to induce the public to accept his proposed repeal of the arms embargo. The President is not satisfied with the present Neutrality Law, which makes the United States a *granary*, raw materials deposit and finished goods supplier of the world's two greatest colonial empires; he also wants to make America a British and French *arsenal*.

But instead of admitting that *he is seeking to increase the degree of advantage* now given the democracies by our present Neutrality Law, Mr. Roosevelt utterly confuses the issue by saying that the Neutrality Act favors Germany.

It is indeed a paradox that in this situation Mr. Roosevelt, a self-proclaimed liberal, should put in practice the teachings of the most notorious anti-liberal of all time.

On page 313 of *Mein Kampf* (Reynal and Hitchcock edition) it is written:

"The great masses of people . . . more easily fall victims to a great lie than to a small one."

* * *

War Not Inevitable

From the Chicago Tribune

"THERE IS NO inevitability about our participation in Europe's conflicts and those who say that we must take sides whether we want to or not are victims of wishful thinking. They want us to get into the war and accordingly they assert that America cannot escape involvement.

"If we go to war it will not be because of some mystical force impelling us against our will into the conflict but because a majority of each house of Congress votes for war. Today the majority of Congress is against participation. The majority will continue of this mind unless their constituents start shouting for war.

"That isn't likely to happen for the present, at least. It will not happen at all if our Administration instead of whipping up the war spirit seeks to allay it.

"War is exciting and a lot of people, hearing and reading about it, begin to itch to get into it. Likewise a lot of statesmen see in war a chance to make great names for themselves —names which will go echoing down the halls of time. The antidote for both is steady concentration on the question, 'What do we get out of it?' and the other question, 'How will participation in the war make us happier, or freer, or richer, or more secure than we should be if we remained aloof?'

"Before we consider going into a war those questions should be answered not vaguely but specifically."

* * *

'Criticizing the President'

ONE OF THE great blessings of the Bill of Rights, whose anniversary we celebrated the other day, is the privilege of "cussing out" the Administration. Between those who overdo this, and those who insist upon a sort of "Our-President-right-or-wrong" prohibition of all comment, lies the normal peace-time privilege—*and duty*—of a free people. Perhaps, the essence of democracy is this very freedom of speech and the voluntary sacrifice of it in emergencies for the commonweal.

To be sure many things may be said now that in a state of war may not be said; hence, *now is the time to say them.* Withholding of honest criticism, of either our Representatives or our elected officials, through some false notion of serving the national interest, is neither wise nor patriotic. Certainly, the emergency is no time for partisanship; but a recess for politics must work both ways.

In the light of opinion freely expressed in the current neutrality fight it is interesting to find that history does repeat. The following editorial comment from the *San Francisco Leader* of April 7, 1917, has been sent in by a reader. April 7th, you will remember, is the date *we went to war for England the last time!*

Says the *Leader:*

"La Follette and the 'willful twelve' are of course traitors—in the opinion of the Anglomaniacs and the munition makers.

"And, if we had been at war when La Follette made his address of Wednesday, he probably would have been executed.

"Yet, the 'willful twelve' have good examples for their disloyalty, one of them being no less a man than Abraham Lincoln, whose reputation for patriotism will survive President Wilson's.

"When President Polk desired war with Mexico in '47, he was strenuously opposed by Lincoln, and the latter was reviled even more fiercely than the worst 'pro-German' of 1917. But he kept on, and even when American troops were fighting in Mexico, in January, 1848, Lincoln assailed the President in Congress. He said:

"'I more than suspect that he is deeply conscious of being in the wrong; that he feels the blood of this war, like the blood of Abel, is crying to Heaven against him; that originally having some strong motive to involve the two countries in war, and trusting to escape scrutiny by fixing the public's gaze upon the exceeding brightness of military glory—that attractive rainbow that rises in showers of blood, that serpent's eyes that charms to destroy—he plunged into it, and has swept on and on till . . . he now finds he knows not where'."

MR. WINSTON CHURCHILL says England is entitled "to the respect and good will" of America—he did not say "assistance." The same Mr. Churchill also said that, if the United States had not butted into England's last war, it could have been settled in 1917!

* * *

An editorial in *Osservatore Romano*, Vatican City newspaper, comments on the striking parallel between Mr. Roosevelt's statements and those of His Holiness, Pope Pius XII. Mr. Roosevelt always SAYS the right thing—SOCIAL JUSTICE never said he didn't. But it's *not the "way we say things but the way we do them"* and Mr. Roosevelt once said that himself!

* * *

Chairman Dies has called for the purge of 2,800 Communists from high Government positions; and John Lewis says he, too, is going to throw them out. But they said SOCIAL JUSTICE was crazy when we said there were any Reds in the C.I.O.!

* * *

And what are 2,800 Communists doing in the Government employ at all? Why are they still there today, more than a week after Mr. Dies' exposure? Will they be there next week?

* * *

A few weeks ago Earl Browder told a University of Virginia audience that a German-Russian "deal" was as unlikely as Browder becoming the head of the United States Chamber of Commerce. We're waiting for the next board meeting.

* * *

James Roosevelt as a Lieutenant-Colonel of U.S. Marines is to be technical advisor to British. Actually, whispers our Washington source, he is to be No. 1 airplane salesman.

* * *

Mexico threatens to ban Communism. The next thing to expect is that Hitler will ban Nazism.

* * *

British naval authorities, according to The Netherlands, confiscated American mail from the Dutch ship *Nieuw Amsterdam*. In the United States Americans are escorted to the clink for such offenses.

* * *

It develops that Sherman was all wrong. The German Propaganda Ministry announces German soldiers are instructed to "fight chivalrously without unnecessary brutality." They merely have to murder the enemy.

* * *

A Hartford, (Conn.) hotel has asked guests to refrain from discussing the war with employes. Well, there's still the World Series and football.

* * *

A Creighton University professor, upon returning from Alaska, reports that Eskimos "have never invented a weapon to kill their fellowmen." Who calls them uncivilized!

* * *

Paying for Dead Horses Department. The United States Government is defendant in a $2,500,000 suit brought by the International Arms and Fuse company. The company says the money is due for shells it supplied to the Government in the World War.

* * *

Dispatches from Stockholm report it is unlikely any Nobel prizes will be awarded during the war. What, no Peace prize?

* * *

In the light of Red Russia's recent kidnapping of Estonia, it is interesting to recall the 1923 Russian-Estonian non-aggression treaty, part of which reads: *"Both parties recognize the right of peoples to choose their own destinies."*

THE REAL ISSUES OF WAR

A Long Conflict Implies Disaster for the Church; But American Neutrality Could Save Europe

by DR. J. S. BARNES

WHAT ARE the issues at stake in this European war? All sensible people hoped they would be confined to the question of Danzig and the Corridor, which at the time of the 1919 Peace Conference most British statesmen considered ought never have been given to Poland. Lloyd George, Lord Curzon, Sir Eyre Crowe, General Smuts all were against the settlement. But Clemenceau, backed by President Wilson, got his way. Thus the seeds of a new war were sown.

If the war goes on at the behest of those in England, who will never be satisfied except with another still more Draconian Treaty of Versailles, it will be a war to the death. The survival of the British and French Empires will be at stake, for one thing. The triumph of Bolshevism over the greater part of Europe might also be the consequence—especially in the event of a victory for England and France!

In order to disentangle the issues, there are two very interesting considerations that ought to be borne in mind. They are generally overlooked.

One is that although the pagan movement in Germany is in opposition to Christianity, it is an ally of Christianity against the atheism, agnosticism and religious indifference which are eating out the heart of Christianity in all the great "democracies."

The other is that, although Bolshevism is in opposition to Naziism and, in a still greater degree, to Fascism, all of them are allies against capitalism or what might be called economic Liberalism.

Moscow Still Aims at World Supremacy

The latter fact accounts for the Russo-German pact. The former causes the great majority of Germans, who remain in this generation faithful to Christianity, to regard the internal conflict against paganism of less immediate importance than the national issue and the external conflict against those who wish to make the world safe again for that kind of Liberalism which Leo XIII condemned in his great encyclical *"Liberal Praestanissimum"* as a "deadly vice."

Fascism, Liberalism, Bolshevism are like three points of a triangle. Behind the rival imperialistic aims of the "haves" and the "have nots," of the old satiated imperial powers who want to maintain their ascendancy by a war now, while they are still strong—against the new youthful nations who in 30 years time, unless crushed in the interval, will have developed an overwhelming strength—there is a war of ideas. It is a three-cornered war between Liberalism, which represents the outworn nineteenth century materialist ideology, Bolshevism, which is the logical development of Liberalism (since it is founded on the same essentially materialistic conception of life), and Fascism, which represents the new twentieth century philosophy of the State and may be considered sound or unsound in proportion to the degree in which it allows itself to be animated by the universal values and the fixed principles of the Church.

Soviet Russia, the protagonist of Bolshevism, has worked for war in Europe in the belief (according to the doctrine laid down by Lenin) that a general war will result in the spread of Bolshevism throughout Europe and the making of Moscow the spiritual capital of the world. But she has no intention of actually entering into war herself, if she can help it—or at least until the other belligerents are exhausted. She has made an agreement with Berlin to prevent Germany from being starved out by England. This tends to equalize the powers of economic resistance on both sides and therefore makes a long war more probable. The longer the war, the greater the ruin; the greater ruin, the greater chances for the spread of Bolshevism and ultimate Russian ascendancy. At the same time, the pact with Germany strengthens Russian security in the West and strengthens Russian power of offense, if need be, in the East.

Having brought their armaments up to scratch, Britain and France—the protagonists of Liberalism—have at last made up their minds that a war now to maintain their world hegemony and to re-establish capitalist economics, on which rested their great power in the 19th century, is better than a war 30 years hence, when their populations will have decreased by 25 per cent, and those of their rivals will have increased by 20 per cent. They bank on the United States coming to their aid. For this, they rely on appeals to the ideology of Liberalism, which in America is still a live creed, on the influence of the Jews and on clever, mendacious propaganda.

After committing themselves to a policy of war, however, the "Allies" have bungled badly. They had hoped to encircle Germany with the aid of Russia. But Stalin duped them. They had then hoped for Turkish and Greek help to concentrate all their forces against the weaker and more exposed partner of the Axis, Italy, blockading Germany's access to the outer world through the Mediterranean and Black Seas.

But Italy's neutrality puts them in severe embarrassment. It localizes the conflict. It prevents Germany from being completely blockaded. And Italy remains a powerful reserve which, in a long war, thrown in on the side of Germany at the right moment, might prove decisive.

The Real Motives of the Axis Policy

Germany and Italy, the protagonists of the ethical, authoritarian and corporative State, are aiming at a redistribution of world power which would give them a genuine equality with imperial Britain and France. They claim that they represent fresh vital civilizing forces, which have arisen since England and France grabbed the greater part of the world and that a new share-out of power, cultural influence, economic and colonial opportunity is, in justice, due to them. But, because they are young and vital, they feel time is working on their side.

These young nations have aimed at getting what they want without war, if possible; but rather by making themselves a nuisance and appealing to the ideal of "distributive justice." Fundamentally, they have no desire to overthrow the French or British Empires. They want to see France and England left with large possessions but deprived of their present exclusive hegemony, so that it may be possible for Europe to cooperate in a stable balance of satisfied powers.

Germany also believes (and probably rightly) that there can be no stability in east-central Europe—given the mix-up of races and undefined geographical features of the whole region from the Baltic to the Black Seas—without some kind of *Pax Germanica*. This connotes Germany's economic and political supremacy (representing as she does by far the largest ethnic group) but which would not prevent or hinder cultural and administrative autonomy for the smaller ethnic groups.

The causes of liberty and democracy are not really at stake at all. In many ways, if we look below the surface, the Nazi, and still more, the Fascist regimes are more democratic than the Liberal, which are dominated by the money-interests. As for liberty—provided we make a distinction between liberty and license—the only essential difference lies in the circumstances in which different nations find themselves. Inevitably in times of revolution, and in times of strife, liberty must be curtailed in the public interest; and only because Germany and Italy have been passing through a revolutionary period has it been deemed necessary to curtail certain liberties which in normal times would be readily granted.

Now, with war upon us, what liberties, I should like to know, are left in Britain and France? Precious few, and quite naturally so. It is all a question of public interest. Italy is indeed now—at this moment, while she is still at peace—the one great European power where liberties are widest. There is far too much humbug indulged in on this subject of democracy and liberty; and the outbreak of war should help to make Americans realize that in truth liberty and democracy are not at stake in the present struggle.

Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, already familiar with the observations of this National Weekly's foreign correspondent Dr. Barnes, will read with interest his analysis of the implications of the present European conflict. More articles from the pen of this informed observer will appear in forthcoming editions.

—THE EDITOR.

Why Christendom Is in Danger

The immediate issues are rival imperialist issues, which in no wise call upon the United States to take sides. We Catholics, however, are bound to take the longer, supernational, super-imperialist view. If there is a long war—and this entirely depends on whether England and France are so obstinate as to want it—the wrack and ruin that will fall on Europe will be terrible. If England and France eventually win in such a war, it may be taken for granted that Bolshevism—which would entail the destruction of the Church, as in Russia, and as attempted in Hungary and in Spain—will spread like a prairie fire right up to the Rhine and to the Alps, and maybe also to Britain and France.

If the Axis wins, the continent of Europe will be saved from these excesses, but maybe England will not be spared. For the Church, therefore, a victory for the Axis will be less harmful than a victory for the Allies. This would be true even if Bolshevism did not result, but if, instead, the world were just made safe for Liberalism—that is, for the agnostics, the Jewish view of life, the Freemasons and all those sects who reject the authority of the Pope and all eternal values.

There is thus in any long war, grave danger for the Church's interests and for the cause of Christendom. It is the duty of every Catholic, of every true Christian, of every man of good will, to do everything in his power to help bring hostilities to an end as soon as possible.

If America makes it plain that she is not going to allow herself to be seduced by British propaganda, but intends to maintain a strict neutrality, then there is hope of peace before Christmas; the only hope of an eventual victory by the warmongers of Britain and France lies in the armed help of the United States. Deprived of this hope, those large numbers of British and French people who—having fulfilled their obligations to Poland, are prepared to make an honorable peace, will be able to assert themselves.

It is up to the Catholics of America, therefore, to play their part for peace by insisting with the Administration on a clear, unambiguous neutrality. It is up to them to give the lead to other Americans, to rally them round the standard of peace and distributive justice and thus to save the world from the inevitable disasters attendant on a long war, disasters, which above all, can only mean a generation of terrible tribulation for the Church of Christ.

Twin Cities and Minnesota — Attention!

CHRISTIAN FRONT RALLY—ADDRESSED BY FATHER COUGHLIN AND DR. CURRAN
ST. PAUL AUDITORIUM, OCTOBER 21

On the evening of Saturday, October 21, Father Coughlin will deliver a personal message to his host of friends in the State of Minnesota. He will speak for one hour by public address system directly from the studios in Royal Oak.

The great rally will be addressed in person by Dr. Edward Lodge Curran, President of the International Catholic Truth Society.

It is expected more than 20,000 persons will attend.

Master of Ceremonies . . . Thomas J. Gibbons, of St. Paul.

Chairman of Arrangements . . . J. J. Burns of Minneapolis, Regent 3081.

Vice Chairman . . . Leo Byrne, of St. Paul, Tower 2834.

France Outlaws Reds, But Menace Remains

They Betrayed Paris Into Moscow Treaty

THE NEWS: By decree, Paris has dissolved the French branch of the Communist International, shut down all Communist front organizations and outlawed the two Red newspapers, *L'Humanite* and *Ce Soir*. (It was to the latter that Shirley Temple sent greetings last year at the instigation of the thriving Red colony in Hollywood.)

The official ban followed a mass mutiny of Red Intellectuals and a purge of all agitators from the ranks of the General Confederation of Labor, which controls the majority of France's 5-million industrial workers.

COMMENT: Except for the parent organization in Russia, the French group was the world's largest and most powerful Communist Party. It levied tolls on 500,000 members, sent 72 delegates to parliament and, in the general elections of 1936, mustered 1,200,000 votes.

As elsewhere, the French Red Party exerted influence far beyond its numerical strength. Through Leon Blum, it directed the policies of the notorious Popular Front government—the so-called compromise coalition of Left-wing groups which first brought about financial and spiritual chaos in France, then abetted and aided the Red Terror in Spain.

The decline and fall of the French Communists took one month—from the signing of the Nazi-Soviet nonaggression pact. Up to then, France had tolerated the Communists as agents of a "friendly power." But with revelation of the Hitler-Stalin conspiracy they could be considered only as traitors. And mark the irony: it was these same Reds who drew France into the Paris-Moscow alliance of 1935, an alliance which encouraged France to underestimate the Rome-Berlin axis and whose collapse now leaves France the most isolated power in Europe.

To be noted: The new French decrees have liquidated the Communist Party, but the Communist menace to France still remains. The laws contain no provisions for the prosecution of Communist leaders, while Communist delegates will still be permitted to sit in the Chamber of Deputies. Indeed, immediately upon the Party's dissolution, the 72 Red Deputies met and formed a new organization called the "French Workers and Farmers Party."

In 1905, Czar Nicholas of Russia banned the Bolsheviks only to watch them rise phenixlike from the ashes of war 12 years later and destroy both his country and himself. History may well repeat itself. The Communist Party in France has merely been driven underground, where it will wait patiently for the proper moment to unfurl the Red flag of revolution in the chaos that must inevitably follow a long, morale-wearing war.

The First Audience

LONDON, ENGLAND — B'nai B'rith, international Jewish secret society, has just published a letter from the recently deceased Sigmund Freud — the intellectual giant who spent the greater part of his life prying into sex-conscious people's dreams and making a "science" out of something known to every good family doctor.

In 1921, Freud wrote B'nai B'rith's Vienna lodge:

"At the time when I was ostracized for my unorthodox views, you were my first audience."

History in Headlines

Britain Guarantees Polish Frontiers

Germany Invades Poland

Britain Declares War on Germany

Russia Invades Poland

Britain Shocked at Red Invasion

Reds Take Half of Poland

Britain Will Not Fight Russia

Bucharest Murder: Fear of Neighbors Paralyzes Roumania

THE NEWS: His huge black monocle glued over the sightless eye destroyed 42 years ago by a nurse who mistook acid for eye-wash, Premier Armand Calinescu of Roumania didn't notice the dark sedan that swept alongside his car as it sped him to the Royal Palace for a conference with King Carol. But he felt the bullets—6 of them — which tore through his chest and stomach.

Some hours after the Premier died, police rounded up 8 Iron Guard suspects and machine-gunned them on the very spot where the murder took place. Leaving the bodies in the street as a gory warning to other putschists, King Carol proclaimed a state of emergency; he appointed General George Argesanu new Premier, to fortify the Royal Dictatorship.

COMMENT: The most remarkable thing about Calinescu's assassination was not his death, but the fact that he lived as long as he did. The Iron Guard, Roumania's strongest Fascist organization, is still flourishing despite King Carol's incessant purges, and Calinescu, a firm supporter of the London-Paris axis, has been a marked man for years.

Calinescu's murder was supposed to be the signal for a pro-Nazi revolution which fizzled out like so many Balkan plots. As long as King Carol has only to cope with internal troubles, his throne is a fairly good insurance risk. But the real menace to Roumania, of course, comes from without, not from within. This week the armies of four hungry neighbors (Russia, Germany, Hungary, Bulgaria) were lined up along Roumania's borders.

The Price of Glory

LONDON, ENGLAND—The European war may be a field day for generals and tacticians, but for the taxpayers it's just the last milestone on the road to bankruptcy.

Economists estimate that the working budget of the month-old conflict now runs to $61-million a day. Every 24 hours, Englishmen contribute to this astounding war chest a total of $21-million. Frenchmen pay $12-million, the Germans a like sum, and the Russians, whose armies march on black bread and cabbage soup, upward of $4-million.

Whence these millions for carnage? As Britain, in gold terms, is the wealthiest combatant, the present state of her finances is revealing. Britain entered the last war—which cost her $40-billion—with a national debt of $2,600,000,000. *Today the British debt is $32-billion.*

It is therefore easy to understand why Sir John Simon last week introduced into the House of Commons a measure calling for a 37 per cent levy on British incomes, the highest income tax in modern history. It remains to be seen how John Bull will take the punishment.

Anthony Biddle, Envoy-Reporter

A. J. DREXEL BIDDLE, JR.

THE NEWS: Anthony J. Drexel Biddle Jr., United States Ambassador to the now defunct state of Poland, has arrived safely in Paris after a harassing 15-day retreat from Warsaw to Roumania under the shadow—and substance—of Nazi war planes.

A red carnation and sleek London clothes belying his trying experiences, Mr. Biddle gave a semi-factual account of Adolf Hitler's two-week Blitzkrieg, particularly emphasizing the atrocity angle. As in a previous message from Poland, Biddle's choice of adjectives—*terrorizing* bombardment, *willful* strafing—were calculated to incite and inflame rather than inform.

COMMENT: Ambassador Biddle's sudden transformation from diplomat to First Atrocitymonger of the Second World War cannot be satisfactorily explained by his love for Poland. There are other factors: Biddle's large fortune which, derived from the international banking house of Drexel, Biddle and Co., will vanish unless the Rothschilds and their protectors, the British and French empires, save international capitalism by an Allied victory; his tie-up with the New Deal (he contributed a reported $100,000 to the Democratic Party fund in the last election campaign); his personal friendship for Franklin Roosevelt.

By giving Mr. Roosevelt such effective propaganda at the height of the neutrality dispute, Mr. Biddle is returning a gracious favor extended him by the White House. In 1934, Mr. Biddle fell off the social ladder and was reduced to the status of defendant in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, which convicted him of diverting to his own use, property of which he was a trustee. Today, through the good graces of President Roosevelt, Mr. Biddle is an honored member of the American diplomatic corps.

While Mr. Biddle was resting in the Paris town house of his good friend Ambassador William Christian Bullitt, Nazi propagandist Joseph Goebbels released a reputed, wire-tapped telephone call between the two American envoys when Biddle was still in Poland. Bullitt was supposed to have told Biddle that President Roosevelt needed all the atrocity stories he could get in order to win his fight against the arms embargo.

Stalin Now Boss of Europe From Baltic to South

THE NEWS: Last week Moscow was the most significant center of diplomatic activity. Suitors at the Kremlin were Germany (Foreign Minister Ribbentrop); Turkey (Foreign Minister Saracoglu); Bulgaria (Finance Minister Bojiloff) and Estonia (Foreign Minister Selter).

Results: Boss Josef Stalin forced the Germans to yield him the lion's share of Poland; wrecked the independence of Estonia (and the two Baltic shadow states, Latvia and Lithuania) by appropriating two strategic islands and the ice-free port of Paldiski; flatly told the Turks to refrain from actively aiding France and Britain; encouraged the Bulgarians to remember their territorial claims on neighboring Roumania; and, finally, sent the Western Allies notice that, unless they got ready to discuss peace, Russia and Germany would "consult on the necessary steps."

COMMENT: The net immediate effect of Stalin's self-assured rearrangement of Eastern Europe was to kill off the remnants of the combination that had been designed to encircle Germany. In the North, Bolshevism is now 250 air-miles closer to the British and French empires. In the Balkans, the threat of Russian invasion — with assistance from the fight-loving Bulgars—paralyzes Roumania and reduces the Dardanelles to the status of just another Russian canal.

There is no question now—unless Stalin allows it—of an Allied backyard attack on Germany through Roumania. The Franco-British armies are held to the Rhine. From Scandinavia to the Near East, Communism and Naziism seem to have made a clean sweep.

Even so, it is not necessarily too late. Hitler has offered the Allies peace—and they were confronted this week with a problem by no means impossible to solve. The problem: to accept the Russo-German partition of Poland and start building a solid foundation for European peace; or to continue the war in the hope that they ultimately can—with Uncle Sam's help, of course—destroy both Hitler and Stalin.

It seemed doubtful that the Allies, hamstrung by the near-sighted propaganda of the blood-money bosses, would take the offer. And this despite the fact that refusal to consider arbitration at this time would seem a course diametrically opposed to national interest. For as matters now stand, it appears inevitable that the only hope of survival for the British empire is not a war against Hitler and Stalin, but a war between Hitler and Stalin.

Military observers in general are of the opinion that no group of powers on earth could defeat a Russo-German military combination—and Britain's leaders know this well. Yet they have got themselves out on a political limb. A few sacrifices, a little loss of face by the directors of the British Empire, could stop the war and break the Berlin-Moscow axis in two weeks. At least, that is what inside sources in London confidently assert.

Action on the Western Front

WESTERN FRONT—British and American newspapers sent correspondents to the French-German border last week to get some gory, eyewitness accounts of the fighting. Here's what the reporters reported:

In a luxurious chateau near the Maginot line, the first British soldiers to arrive in France were "drinking tea, sorting mail and making no complaint about having to do without the comforts of home."

Along the Rhine, German generals made inspection tours only 250 yards away from French pillboxes. Instead of shooting, the French waved apples and pears at the enemy, hoping to trade them for a fat German ham which a Nazi soldier had just received from home.

At other times, the poilus gave mock Nazi and Communist salutes. Germans called back, *"Nix la guerre,"* (no war).

Everybody laughed.

LAST CALL!

Great 'COLUMBIAN CONTEST'

Closes Midnight, Saturday, October 14

The sun is setting on the great "COLUMBIAN CONTEST" and also on your opportunity to strike for $2,500.00 in cash.

At Midnight on Saturday, October 14, this great competition will come to an end.

At that hour your chance to be listed among 159 winners in one of the greatest educational tests ever conducted in this country—on the stroke of that midnight your whack at fortune in this contest will expire.

BUT IT STILL IS NOT TOO LATE FOR YOU TO ENTER! On these pages you will find all 50 questions of the Contest. They are all here before you—right now. No waiting. No delays. In fact, if you are going to enter and win, you cannot delay a single day.

Yet, you have sufficient time to work out your solutions to the 50 questions.

You have almost two weeks in which to study the questions, examine closely the suggested answers, set down what you think is the best or most appropriate answers, send them in—AND WIN!

While it is true not every contestant can be a winner of the Grand Prize of $2,500.00—always keep in mind the fact that YOU HAVE AS GOOD A CHANCE TO WIN AS ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE CONTEST.

A Grand Prize of $2,500.00; a Second Prize of $1,400.00; a Third Prize of $1,000.00; and 156 other cash awards.

Remember—anybody can win a SOCIAL JUSTICE contest prize. In the past two and a half years, 1,042 persons have won prizes aggregating close to $30,000.00 in the "question and answer" form of SOCIAL JUSTICE test.

This is the Last Call. This is your final chance to enter. Now is the time for you to act, for to delay might be a mistake.

This very hour go to work on the first few questions. Notice how easy it is to select what you consider the proper answer. All questions are easy—but not TOO easy.

Just a little thought for a few minutes each day—and TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS IN CASH CAN BE YOURS AS EASILY AS THAT OF ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE CONTEST!

This Could Be Your Ticket To Fortune

"Contest Editor," SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan

Following are my selections of answers to all 50 questions of the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST." I am enclosing $2.50, payment in full.

No. 1	For Question No. 1 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 26	For Question No. 26 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 2	For Question No. 2 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 27	For Question No. 27 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 3	For Question No. 3 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 28	For Question No. 28 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 4	For Question No. 4 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 29	For Question No. 29 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 5	For Question No. 5 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 30	For Question No. 30 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 6	For Question No. 6 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 31	For Question No. 31 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 7	For Question No. 7 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 32	For Question No. 32 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 8	For Question No. 8 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 33	For Question No. 33 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 9	For Question No. 9 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 34	For Question No. 34 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 10	For Question No. 10 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 35	For Question No. 35 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 11	For Question No. 11 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 36	For Question No. 36 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 12	For Question No. 12 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 37	For Question No. 37 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 13	For Question No. 13 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 38	For Question No. 38 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 14	For Question No. 14 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 39	For Question No. 39 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 15	For Question No. 15 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 40	For Question No. 40 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 16	For Question No. 16 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 41	For Question No. 41 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 17	For Question No. 17 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 42	For Question No. 42 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 18	For Question No. 18 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 43	For Question No. 43 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 19	For Question No. 19 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 44	For Question No. 44 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 20	For Question No. 20 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 45	For Question No. 45 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 21	For Question No. 21 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 46	For Question No. 46 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 22	For Question No. 22 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 47	For Question No. 47 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 23	For Question No. 23 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 48	For Question No. 48 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 24	For Question No. 24 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 49	For Question No. 49 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 25	For Question No. 25 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 50	For Question No. 50 I Select Answer No. ☐

MY NAME IS.....

MY ADDRESS IS.....

TOWN OR CITY..... STATE.....

If entering now, fill in this coupon and return with $2.50.
If already entered, fill in from where you left off.

To Those ALREADY ENTERED

If you have been regularly sending in your weekly coupons, your set should be now complete up to and including Question No. 24. The last pair of Questions, No. 23 and No. 24, appeared in the issue of September 25.

Unless you are paid up in full, you have remitted a total of $1.20. That leaves $1.30 to be sent in to make up the total of $2.50.

All right—

Just fill in your answers to the remaining 26 questions, starting with No. 25, on the large coupon on this page, and send it in with $1.30 in coin, check or money order — not stamps. Then your set will be complete and duly qualified for the prizes.

If you entered and fell behind with your returns, just start on the large coupon where you left off—if you remember—and remit five cents for each of the remaining questions.

If you don't remember, we suggest you start all over again. Fill in your answers to the 50 questions from top to bottom and send them in with $2.50. That's the one we'll consider in your file for the prizes.

Remember—your letter containing solutions MUST be postmarked at or before Midnight, Saturday, Oct. 14.

Get your name against this $2,500

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500.00
Second Prize	1,400.00
Third Prize	1,000.00
Fourth Prize	300.00
Fifth Prize	200.00
Four prizes of $25.00 each	100.00
Fifty prizes of $10.00 each	500.00
100 prizes of $5.00 each	500.00
Total prizes	$6,500.00

Address 'CONTEST EDITOR'
SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Mich.

$6,500.00 'IN THE BAG'

$2,500 If you

QUESTION NO. 1
Which Is Man's Most Important Debt?

1. To God.
2. To his county.
3. To his government.
4. To his parents.
5. To his church.
6. To his children.
7. To his employer.
8. To his innate principles.

QUESTION NO. 2
Which Army Is Most Dangerous to America?

1. The German army.
2. The Japanese army.
3. The British army.
4. The Mexican army.
5. The Russian army.
6. The army of the unemployed.

QUESTION NO. 3
What Sort of Bankers Principally Foment Communism?

1. American bankers.
2. Russian bankers.
3. International bankers.
4. English bankers.
5. French bankers.
6. German bankers.
7. Federal Reserve bankers.
8. Italian bankers.

QUESTION NO. 4
What Sort of Jews and Gentiles Does Father Coughlin Principally Oppose?

1. German Jews and Gentiles.
2. Atheistic Jews and Gentiles.
3. Religious Jews and Gentiles.
4. Industrial Jews and Gentiles.
5. American Jews and Gentiles.
6. Banking Jews and Gentiles.
7. Political Jews and Gentiles.
8. Russian Jews and Gentiles.

QUESTION NO. 5
Why Is the Press of America Not Free?

1. Because of government control.
2. Because of government censorship.
3. Because publishers do not desire freedom.
4. Because of pressure by labor unions.
5. Because of control by advertisers.
6. Because freedom of the press is illegal.

QUESTION NO. 6
What Is the United States?

1. A true democracy.
2. An empire.
3. A republic.
4. A limited monarchy.
5. A corporate democracy.
6. A Christian democracy.
7. An absolute monarchy.
8. A totalitarian state.

QUESTION NO. 7
What Is the Constitution of the United States?

1. It is a charter given the people by the government.
2. It is a charter given the government by the people.
3. It is the Bill of Rights outlining liberty.
4. It is the basic statutory law of the land.
5. It is the natural law of the land.
6. It is the fundamental written law of the land.

QUESTION NO. 8
What Was the Real Purpose of the Visit to the United States of the British King and Queen?

1. To arrange payment of war debts.
2. To see the New York World's Fair.
3. To aid in a world-wide movement for social justice.
4. To increase trade and commerce between Britain and the U. S. A.
5. To assure America of British help in time of need.
6. To solidify U.S.A.-Canada relations.
7. To gain American sympathy and co-operation in time of war.
8. To placate Irish-American sentiment.

QUESTION NO. 9
Name the De Facto Government of the United States

1. The Senate.
2. The House of Representatives.
3. The President.
4. The Cabinet.
5. The Federal Reserve Banks.
6. The army.
7. The navy.
8. The Communist Party.

QUESTION NO. 10
Why Is Father Coughlin Not Pro-Nazi?

1. Because he believes in Christian totalitarianism.
2. Because he believes Christianity absolutely necessary to all good government.
3. Because he is opposed to state control of religion.
4. Because he believes in dictatorship of labor.
5. Because he favors a dictatorship of the proletariat.
6. Because Nazilism is opposed to international bankers.

QUESTION NO. 11
What Do You Do When You Buy a Government Bond Issued to the Federal Reserve Banks?

1. Invest in progress.
2. Invest in new wealth.
3. Invest in production.
4. Invest in debt.
5. Invest in honest money.
6. Invest in taxable bonds.

QUESTION NO. 12
Why Is a Loan for Non-Productive Purposes Unsound?

1. It is un-Christian to charge interest on a loan producing no wealth.
2. It impoverishes the debtor.
3. It impoverishes the creditor.
4. It impoverishes the nation.
5. It impoverishes the Government.
6. It does not increase purchasing power.

QUESTION NO. 13
Why Are Communism and Democracy Incompatible?

1. Communism is theistic
2. Communism does not permit of rule by the people.
3. Democracy is essentially pro-God.
4. Christianity cannot exist without democracy.
5. Democracy denies private ownership of property.
6. Democracy is essentially fascistic.
7. Communism permits freedom of religion.
8. Democracy means totalitarianism.

QUESTION NO. 14
Describe Christianity

1. It is modernistic.
2. It is naturalistic.
3. It is nationalistic.
4. It is Communistic.
5. It is supra-nationalistic.
6. It is mystic.
7. It is fascistic.
8. It is imperialistic.

QUESTION NO. 15
Who Are the Forces Most Responsible for Current War Promotion?

1. The German people.
2. The American people.
3. The English people.
4. Atheistic internationalists.
5. The French people.
6. The Russian people.
7. Christian leaders.
8. The Congress of the United States.
9. Chancellor Hitler.
10. The British Parliament.

QUESTION NO. 16
What Does Father Coughlin Insist be Incorporated in a Neutrality Bill?

1. That the President be empowered to name aggressor nations.
2. That diplomacy between nations be kept secret.
3. That pro-war propaganda be prohibited.
4. That the "cash and carry" plan be put into effect.
5. That the President be empowered to declare war.
6. That the Stabilization Fund be perpetuated.

QUESTION NO. 17
Why Do Newspapers Uphold Modern Capitalism?

1. Because they are blind to its evils.
2. Because they are genuine enemies of the workers.
3. Because they are ignorant of the benefits of Communism.
4. Because they are fascistic.
5. Because they are owned or controlled by bankers and advertisers.
6. Because modern capitalism is un-Christian.

QUESTION NO. 18
What Is Communism's Most Powerful Weapon in the United States?

1. The radio.
2. The daily press.
3. The radical pulpit.
4. The New Deal.
5. Its power and influence in the labor movement.
6. Its control of American banks.
7. Russian money.
8. Pro-British propaganda.
9. Anti-Hitler sentiment.
10. Un-American influence in education.

QUESTION NO. 19
What Was the Main Clear-Cut Issue in the Spanish Civil War?

1. Fascism vs. Communism.
2. Catholicism vs. Protestantism.
3. Monarchy vs. Democracy.
4. Communism vs. Democracy.
5. Democracy vs. Republicanism.
6. Communism vs. Christianity.
7. Fascism vs. Christianity.
8. Monarchy vs. Fascism.

QUESTION NO. 20
What Is the Fundamental Doctrine of Christian Social Justice?

1. The world can operate independently of God.
2. Society can function only along natural lines.
3. Christ's mission was to individuals—not to society.
4. Christ's place is only in the church.
5. Social doctrine must come from humanity alone.
6. Naturalism is the only remedy for human ills.
7. Christ came upon earth to establish a new social order.
8. A Christian Front is absolutely necessary to democracy.

QUESTION NO. 21
How Is the Farm Mortgage Debt of This Country Being Reduced?

1. By higher prices paid for farm produce.
2. By Government subsidy to farmers.
3. By foreclosure and assignment.
4. By modern methods of economizing used by farmers.
5. By inflation of the currency.
6. By bumper crops.
7. By Secretary Wallace's production control methods.
8. By widespread sale of farm lands.

QUESTION NO. 22
What Is the Most Necessary Requisite to Tranquility and Order in Economic Life?

1. Absolute freedom of the individual.
2. Christian social justice.
3. Democracy in church and state.
4. Totalitarian authority in church and state.
5. A just, living, annual wage to workers.
6. Control of money by the people as a whole.
7. Union of church and state.
8. Separation of church and state.

QUESTION NO. 23
What Is the Prime Cause of All Present World Dictatorships?

1. Anti-Christianity.
2. Anti-Semitism.
3. Russian social conditions.
4. German social conditions.
5. Italian social conditions.
6. Spanish social conditions.
7. Naturalistic economics.
8. Rise of collectivism.
9. Rise of Bolshevism.
10. Rise of Fascism

QUESTION NO. 24
What Is the Principal Cause of the Rise of Naziism?

1. Greed of international capitalism.
2. The ability and oratory of Adolph Hitler.
3. Opposition to Christianity.
4. Opposition to Jewry.
5. Communism in Germany.
6. Communism in Russia.
7. German imperialism after the Great War.
8. Evils of the Treaty of Versailles.
9. British imperialism.

QUESTION NO. 25
What Is a Genuine Anti-Semite?

1. One who says Jews foster Communism.
2. One who says Nazi persecution of Jews is economically justifiable.
3. Jews who fight Arabs in Palestine.
4. Arabs who fight Jews in Palestine.
5. One who hates Jews only because they are Jews.
6. The entire German nation.
7. The Christian world.
8. The General Jewish Council.

QUESTION NO. 26
In Which Century Did Capitalism Become Firmly Rooted in the World?

1. The Fourteenth.
2. The Fifteenth.
3. The Sixteenth.
4. The Seventeenth.
5. The Eighteenth.
6. The Nineteenth.
7. The Twentieth.

QUESTION NO. 27
What Is Naturalism?

1. The belief that God is the founder of the social order.
2. The belief that Christ is the founder of the social order.
3. The belief that the Catholic Church is the founder of the social order.
4. The belief that man alone is the deciding factor in social conditions.
5. Protestantism.
6. The belief that the Jews are the chosen people of God.
7. The belief that the Jews are destined to rule the world.

QUESTION NO. 28
What Principally Is America's War?

1. A war against want in the midst of plenty.
2. A war against foreign propaganda.
3. A war against Great Britain.
4. A war against France.
5. A war against Germany.
6. A war against Communism.
7. A war against Naziism.
8. A war against the international bankers.

QUESTION NO. 29
Who Was Bela Kun?

1. A Russian nihilist who confined all his activities to Russia.
2. A French revolutionist.
3. A revolutionary leader in Spain.
4. A cousin of Leon Trotzky.
5. Author of Das Kapital.
6. A Communist leader of Hungary.
7. A German Sparticist.
8. A Nazi spy.

QUESTION NO. 30
What Is a Corporate State?

1. One in which all power is in the hands of one man.
2. One in which representatives are elected to the legislative body according to numerical population.
3. One in which representatives to the legislative body are elected by vocational groups.
4. One in which legal business corporations control.
5. One in which only trade guilds are represented on the legislative body.
6. An absolute monarchy.
7. A limited monarchy.
8. A pure democracy.

QUESTION NO. 31
How Principally Does Capitalism Beget Communism?

1. By coddling Communist leaders.
2. By dividing corporate profits with workers.
3. By abusing workers.
4. By opposing international bankers.
5. By espousing a fake democracy.
6. By too highly evaluating gold as a basis of currency.

Each Social Justice Contest Brings Out a Ne

0.00 Grand Prize Can Still Be Yours

u come in before midnight, Oct. 14

QUESTION NO. 32

What Is the Main Reason America Should Remain Out of the European War?

1. Because all involved nations are opposed to Christianity.
2. Because the war would use up all our gold reserve.
3. Because the war would not cause even a temporary prosperity.
4. Because history shows how futile are our efforts to cure the ills of Europe.
5. Because Hitler is not an aggressor and, therefore, we should not oppose him.
6. Because our participation would mean dictatorship and the loss of our democracy.
7. Because England and France are not aggressors and, therefore, we should not oppose them.
8. Because international bankers want us in.

QUESTION NO. 33

Which Is the World's Greatest Unjust Aggressor?

1. Germany.
2. Russia.
3. France.
4. The U.S.A.
5. Italy.
6. Great Britain.

QUESTION NO. 34

How Most Effectively Do the Reds Seek to Smear Father Coughlin?

1. By calling him a Fascist.
2. By calling him a "Little Hitler."
3. By calling him a reactionary.
4. By calling him an opponent of labor.
5. By accusing him of capitalistic backing.
6. By accusing him of Nazi backing.
7. By calling him an anti-Semite.
8. By calling him a sadist.

QUESTION NO. 35

Who Was the Founder of Social Justice?

1. Pope Leo XIII.
2. Pope Pius X.
3. Pope Pius XII.
4. Pope Pius XI.
5. Father Coughlin.
6. The Roman Catholic Church.
7. Jesus Christ.
8. St. Thomas Aquinas.

QUESTION NO. 36

What Is the Most Important Preliminary to Social Reform?

1. Political reform.
2. Educational reform.
3. Economic reform.
4. Religious reform.
5. Legislative reform.
6. Military reform.
7. Naval reform.
8. Judicial reform.

QUESTION NO. 37

Why Principally Is Father Coughlin Labeled an Anti-Semite?

1. Because he is an anti-Semite.
2. To smear his campaign against Communism.
3. Because he is feared as a Nazi leader.
4. Because he is a Catholic priest.
5. Because he is a Christian clergyman.
6. Because he condemns all Jews.
7. Because he has a private radio station.
8. Because he fights international bankers.

QUESTION NO. 38

What Is the Chief Bywcrd of Allied Propagandists in This Second World War?

1. "Freedom of the Seas."
2. "Down with Hitlerism."
3. "Democracy."
4. "Dictatorship of the Proletariat."
5. "Workers of the World, Unite."
6. "He who is not with Me is against Me."
7. "America for Amercians."
8. "America's Frontier is the Rhine."

QUESTION NO. 39

How Can American Labor Reinstate Itself in Public Confidence?

1. By espousing the downfall of conservative leaders.
2. By supporting the progress of "liberal" leaders.
3. By divorcing itself from the policy of collective security.
4. By adoption of a Trojan Horse policy within political parties.
5. By uniting in One Big Union for collective security.
6. By being "twentieth century Americans."
7. By following the Christian, Karl Marx, against the atheist, Jesus Christ.
8. By maligning itself together with the Christian Front.
9. By striking for Americanism.
10. By abandoning its policy of useful strikes.

QUESTION NO. 40

Why Is American Jewry Almost Unanimously Pro-New Deal?

1. Because it thinks the New Deal pro-Nazi.
2. Because it thinks the New Deal internationally radical.
3. Because it thinks the New Deal lacking in dangerous "liberalism."
4. Because American Jewry believes in genuine American democracy.
5. Because the New Deal is patently anti-Christian.
6. Because American Jewry is a natural enemy of the Republican Party.

QUESTION NO. 41

What Was the Main Cause of Failure of the New York World's Fair?

1. Its indecent exhibits.
2. Racketeering by radical labor unions.
3. Grover Whalen.
4. General hard times.
5. Chicago Fair was better.
6. Competition of San Francisco Fair.
7. Predominance of Bolshevik atmosphere.
8. Opposition of Germany, which had no exhibit.

QUESTION NO. 42

In Which Book of Holy Scripture Is the National Ruin of the Jews Fully Described?

1. Job.
2. Deuteronomy.
3. Leviticus.
4. Exodus.
5. Kings I.
6. Chronicles II.
7. Ecclesiastes.
8. Psalms.

QUESTION NO. 43

What Is the Most Serious Political Menace at Present Threatening American Liberty?

1. The Ludlow amendment.
2. Federal housing legislation.
3. The U. S. Treasury stabilization fund.
4. Fraternizing of the Administration with the C.I.O.
5. War desires of the New Deal.
6. Administration efforts to lift the embargo on arms.
7. Russian Communist propaganda.
8. Indifference of Congress to European war.
9. Government encouragement of general unemployment.

QUESTION NO. 44

What Is Meant by the "Trojan Horse" Policy?

1. The policy of kicking out of an organization those who won't be subservient.
2. The policy of bucking Christianity by abusing Christians for that purpose.
3. The policy of always appearing to agree with all claims of opponents.
4. The Nazi system of blatant pro-German propaganda.
5. The policy of secretly propagandizing an organization from within.
6. The policy of secretly propagandizing an organization from without.
7. The policy of breaking up meetings by resort to force and heckling.
8. The policy of always relying on aid from the police.

QUESTION NO. 45

What Does Father Coughlin Predict Will Be the Result of "Cash and Carry" Evolving Into "Credit and Carrion"?

1. Unemployment will be a thing of the past in America.
2. Democracy will be saved.
3. Totalitarianism will vanish from the earth.
4. A moribund credit will cause financial stagnation and bankruptcy.
5. Excessive credit will avert excess of corpses.
6. America's participation in the war will be eschewed.
7. Great Britain and France will cease to be aggressor nations.
8. America's entry into the war will be the result of accidents—not incidents.
9. Regimentation will not be the rule of life in America.
10. International money changers will not be uncurbed.

QUESTION NO. 46

What Is the Chief Fascist Menace to American Liberty?

1. Father Coughlin.
2. The German-American Bund.
3. Communism.
4. The Roman Catholic Church.
5. Christianity as a whole.
6. Nazi propaganda.
7. The Protestant Church.
8. The New Deal.
9. The Federal Reserve Banks.
10. Army of the unemployed.

QUESTION NO. 47

What Is Father Coughlin's Most Emphatic Advice to the Christian Front?

1. In the interest of peace, submit to anti-Christian attacks.
2. Fight only the Franco way.
3. Compromise with Bolshevism until you are well organized.
4. Meet force with force as a last resort.
5. Unite and abolish factionalism.
6. Organize for war.
7. Have confidence in all leaders.
8. Have confidence in truly Christian leaders.

QUESTION NO. 48

What Sort of Democracy Does America Lack?

1. Congressional democracy.
2. Political democracy.
3. Economic democracy.
4. Electoral democracy.
5. Sociological democracy.
6. Educational democracy.

QUESTION NO. 49

What Action by American Jewry Would Most Effectively Tend to Banish Anti-Semitism?

1. Lift the anti-Nazi boycott.
2. Cease condemnation of the German-American Bund.
3. Cease support of the New Deal.
4. Cease attacks on Father Coughlin.
5. Condemn international finance and bankers.
6. Sweepingly condemn Communism and all its branches.
7. Cease or discourage all pro-war propaganda.
8. Clean up literature, the stage and motion pictures.

QUESTION NO. 50

What Is the Chief Root of the Evils of Capitalism?

1. Atheism.
2. Departure from Christian principles.
3. Naturalism in education.
4. The Industrial Revolution.
5. Decay of the guild system.
6. Discovery of America.
7. Communism.
8. Naziism.

Here Are the Rules

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 13 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, July 10, 1939. Two questions each week for 12 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 13th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio address, or with the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent, without further cost, two copies of Father Coughlin's 1938-1939 radio lectures, entitled, *"Am I an Anti-Semite?"* and *"Why Leave Our Own?"*

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

Study These Instructions

1. Study the questions. Mark your selection of the best or most appropriate answers on the large coupon and send in with $2.50 in cash—not stamps.

2. Each question pertains to the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin, to the contents of his radio addresses or to the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. Proper or best and most appropriate answers to all questions are found in Father Coughlin's radio speeches and in SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

One out of the list of suggested answers under each question will be the best or most appropriate, based upon the pronouncements of Father Coughlin, or this national weekly. It is your job as a contestant to try to select that particular answer.

3. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, each contestant is required to remit $2.50 for each complete set of solutions submitted. THE NET PROCEEDS OF THE CONTEST GO INTO THE BROADCASTING FUND TO ASSURE CONTINUANCE OF FATHER COUGHLIN'S WEEKLY RADIO ADDRESSES!

4. If you run more than one set of answers, be sure to mark your Sets "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each set stands alone in the judging. Coupons are NOT taken from one set to fill in bad "holes" in another set.

ew Crop of Winners — *THIS could be your turn*



Father Coughlin needs YOUR HELP *in this hour!*

There is a reason why you should enter the great "COLUMBIAN CONTEST" during these last days—a reason altogether apart from your chance to win the Grand Prize of $2,500.00, or one of the other 158 prizes.

That reason is that, as a contestant, you are helping in the great cause of keeping Father Coughlin on the nation's radio with his invaluable weekly broadcasts.

Half the world is at war. The remaining half is contemplating going to war. Our own nation is torn with conflicting opinions as to whether or not we should take part. It is the opinion of Father Coughlin that America should remain for Americans, and that, as a nation, we should continue a policy of strict neutrality.

This question is fraught with deeper possibilities than any that have arisen since the close of the Great War.

The opinion of Father Coughlin is most valuable during these trying times. His voice is one of the most potent agencies for American peace.

Now, SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine is one of the main sources of broadcasting revenue at Royal Oak. Without the proceeds of our weekly—without our contests—Father Coughlin's broadcasts would have to be discontinued.

Never before has this Broadcasting Fund been as necessary as today. Never before did the people of our country need the clear, truthful words of the Man of Royal Oak.

As a contestant, you are helping this great cause. As a participant in this competition you are "throwing your shoulder to the wheel" for a safer America—safer from influences which would destroy it.

This is altogether apart from your desire to win the Grand Prize or any of the other prizes. Of course, you want to win. Everybody likes to win material reward for work well done.

And you CAN win. Within a few weeks you would like to receive a wire saying you had been adjudged winner of the Grand Prize of $2,500.00. Now, wouldn't you? What a question! Or the Second Prize of $1,400.00. Or the Third Prize of $1,000.00. Or any of the remaining 156 Cash Awards.

Man or woman, boy or girl, you CAN win—IF YOU ENTER NOW—BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE.

Then—won't it be an additional thrill for you to realize you have aided in the greatest cause for which Americans have to fight today? Won't it mean much to you that you have been part and parcel of a great campaign to keep America CLEAN of sinister, foreign influence?

Think this over—but not for long—for the days are passing—and MIDNIGHT, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 14, IS THE LAST HOUR!

Get a friend to use THIS EXTRA COUPON

"Contest Editor," SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan

Following are my selections of answers to all 50 questions of the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST." I am enclosing $2.50, payment in full.

No. 1	For Question No. 1 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 26	For Question No. 26 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 2	For Question No. 2 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 27	For Question No. 27 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 3	For Question No. 3 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 28	For Question No. 28 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 4	For Question No. 4 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 29	For Question No. 29 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 5	For Question No. 5 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 30	For Question No. 30 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 6	For Question No. 6 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 31	For Question No. 31 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 7	For Question No. 7 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 32	For Question No. 32 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 8	For Question No. 8 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 33	For Question No. 33 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 9	For Question No. 9 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 34	For Question No. 34 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 10	For Question No. 10 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 35	For Question No. 35 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 11	For Question No. 11 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 36	For Question No. 36 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 12	For Question No. 12 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 37	For Question No. 37 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 13	For Question No. 13 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 38	For Question No. 38 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 14	For Question No. 14 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 39	For Question No. 39 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 15	For Question No. 15 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 40	For Question No. 40 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 16	For Question No. 16 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 41	For Question No. 41 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 17	For Question No. 17 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 42	For Question No. 42 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 18	For Question No. 18 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 43	For Question No. 43 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 19	For Question No. 19 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 44	For Question No. 44 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 20	For Question No. 20 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 45	For Question No. 45 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 21	For Question No. 21 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 46	For Question No. 46 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 22	For Question No. 22 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 47	For Question No. 47 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 23	For Question No. 23 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 48	For Question No. 48 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 24	For Question No. 24 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 49	For Question No. 49 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 25	For Question No. 25 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 50	For Question No. 50 I Select Answer No. ☐

MY NAME IS .....

MY ADDRESS IS .....

TOWN OR CITY ..... STATE .....

Would $2,500.00 in Cash Be Welcome?

What could you do with $2,500.00? You absolutely CAN win this neat fortune by entering the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST" in this last minute opportunity. Let's see how you could spend it:

All these years you've craved a home of your own. With $2,500.00 in your bank account you CAN BUILD A HOME. Most homes are started with much less than the amount of the Grand Prize in the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST."

You want a university education. Here's your chance to strike for one. With $2,500.00 dumped into your lap at one time—you can go to any university in the United States for four years. You CAN win the Grand Prize as easily as any person in the competition. Entering TODAY could mean the changing point in your entire life.

Ah! We've got something here. You've been stalling the girl—or the man—stalling until your bank account gets jacked up to where you think you can afford marriage. What if you received along about November 1 our check for $2,500.00? How long would it take you then to buckle up the romance? We wonder.

Florida! California! Bermuda! South America! How sweet are these names to the natural nomad! As winner of the Grand Prize in the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST," the trip is yours.

Get Ma and Pa out of the rut. You can do it nicely with $2,500.00. And you CAN win exactly that much as well as anybody else—IF YOU ENTER NOW AT THE ELEVENTH HOUR.

Most successful businesses are started with less capital than $2,500.00, the amount of the Grand Prize in the "COLUMBIAN CONTEST." With that sum in your pocket you can command respect of business men. You can interest additional capital. YOU CAN GET STARTED IN LIFE.

But don't forget:

There is a Second Prize of $1,400.00; a Third Prize of $1,000.00; and 156 additional cash awards.

YOUR OPPORTUNITY IS BEFORE YOU FOR THE LAST TIME! You MUST go to work for a few hours at once! You MUST mail your letter containing your solutions to all 50 questions and your remittance of $2.50 on or before MIDNIGHT of SATURDAY, OCT. 14.

It's easy to win. Go to it—AND WIN $2,500.00.

Abolition of War Board Is Hardly What It Seems

THE NEWS: President Roosevelt announced in Washington that the War Resources Board will be disbanded within a fortnight. The Board was established in August to prepare plans for mobilization and control of industry in time of war, under the chairmanship of Edward R. Stettinius, Jr., chairman of the board of United States Steel Corporation.

At the same time, Secretary of War Woodring asserted that the Board was never intended to be a "permanent" agency: "We are not setting up any war boards or war machinery and, as far as I am concerned, I hope we never will."

COMMENT: The President's abandonment of the War Resources Board was greeted with surprise in official—and unofficial—Washington, and for good reason. From its founding the board bore every earmark of permanency; a joint statement issued by the War and Navy Departments at the time of its establishment declared that, "in an emergency the War Resources Board would become an executive agency of the Government with broad powers similar to those of the old War Industries Board." (Headed by Bernard M. Baruch, international financier, at the time of the World War.)

Furthermore, Louis Johnson, Acting Secretary of War at the time the Board was set up, said at that time: "Should war occur, we would expect that the War Resources Board would be made . . . an executive agency and be placed directly under the control of the President, and that he delegate to it such of his emergency powers as appear desirable . . . the War Resources Board would become the War Resources Administration visualized in the document called the industrial mobilization plan. . . ."

The War Resources Board has been in almost constant session since August 17. It has prepared recommendations for harnessing industry in time of war, and it appears strange that it should be dropped at this time when war abroad is a reality.

One thing must be kept in mind: President Roosevelt, in time of war, would become commander-in-chief of the army and navy. The present form of government would be suspended, and a submissive Congress would immediately sanction a dictatorship. President Roosevelt, despite all pious talk about neutrality and "international law," is war-minded. He has advised the nation that it "need not be neutral in thought"; he has prepared to scrap the embargo provision of the present neutrality law, and agents of the British and French governments are in touch with officials of the United States War, Navy and State Departments.

From this outlook it would appear that disbanding the War Resources Board, while on its face a stroke for peace, may in fact be a step toward war. Stettinius, chairman of the War Resources Board, has been designated already as wartime "director of war industry," and his release from the soon-defunct War Resources Board would free him to accept this post.

The Puzzle Corner

THE HATCH "no-politics" Bill passed by Congress forbids political activity by any Federal official or job holder. But Attorney General Frank Murphy's department informed two Federal payrollers in Pittsburgh they could remain on the job and run for political office at the same time, providing they "refrain from addressing public meetings or engaging in political activities."

E. R. STETTINIUS, JR.

A 'Steel King' Places Peace Above Profits

THE NEWS: Ernest T. Weir, president of the American Iron and Steel Institute, in a statement issued at Pittsburgh, Pa., asserted that the Administration must not tamper with present neutrality legislation, and must not lift the embargo against shipment of arms and munitions to belligerents.

"I am very much opposed to any change in the present neutrality law," he said. "The United States should at no time manufacture direct munitions for sale to any country whether they are at war or peace."

COMMENT: Mr. Weir's patriotism seems to be of the kind that rises above the profit motive and considers the good of the nation. Unfortunately many of his fellows in steel and other heavy industries do not see eye to eye with him on this, believing that a fat war market will bring mounting orders and zooming prices.

Tom Girdler, chairman of Republic Steel Corporation, for instance, declared himself in favor of lifting the embargo on arms shipments. He supported his view, weakly, by stating that, while the steel industry would "rather" make ploughshares than swords, nevertheless "public opinion" forces repeal of the arms embargo.

That is both a dangerous and a selfish viewpoint, and one that cannot be supported logically. Mr. Girdler's first interest, it appears, is business; his second interest is peace.

F.D.R. Sole Arbiter of War or Peace

Discrepancy Between Words and Acts Gives Rise to Nation-Wide Pessimism

THE NEWS: Speaking in Seattle, John Boettiger, publisher of the *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, told a peace meeting that the people of the United States can depend on President Roosevelt to keep this nation out of war, and to "keep the light of democracy burning in the new world." Mr. Boettiger is the son-in-law of the President, being the husband of Anna Roosevelt, divorced wife of Curtis Dall. The Seattle publisher formerly was Washington correspondent for the *Chicago Tribune*, voice of the Republican party in the midwest.

COMMENT: Mr. Boettiger's statement, it appears, is a heavy mixture of optimism and wish-thinking. H. L. Mencken, famed Baltimore critic, noted educators from every part of the country, and a host of Congressmen believe that, while the President's words are those of a neutral man, his acts are those of a bold un-neutral.

The President has, in fact, morally allied this nation with Great Britain and France in their war against Germany. His desire is to scuttle the arms-embargo clause of the present neutrality act, and set this nation free to ship arms, munitions and implements of warfare to "all" belligerents on a cash-and-carry basis. It is patent, however, that only Britain and France have either the "cash" or the "carry," for only Britain and France have sizable gold reserves to purchase arms here; only Britain and France—in the British fleet—have the means of ocean transport.

Thus this nation—as Herbert Hoover has pointed out—becomes an "arsenal for the Allies, and a target for their enemies." How, in the face of these facts, President Roosevelt can speak of "neutrality" in connection with his proposed legislation only a puzzle-solver can understand.

Our participation in the war abroad may be of a dual nature. We may enter the war economically, or we may enter the war by transporting an expeditionary force of American soldiers to fight the battles of Britain and France on the Western front. For all practical purposes we enter the war economically when we ship arms and munitions to the Allies. Defending ourselves in this un-neutral position would, eventually, bring us into the war in the second sense specified. We would be forced to send an expeditionary force to battle Germany and her cohorts.

Now, does President Roosevelt wish to keep us out of war, as Mr. Boettiger says? Undoubtedly he would like to keep us out of war in the sense that he would like to escape the responsibility for sending American soldiers abroad. History will drag his name to the depths if he takes that step. But he apparently is not so chary about keeping us out of the war in an *economic* sense. No, ever since 1935 he has been engaged in putting us *into* the next war in an economic sense. In 1937, in fact, on October 5, he declared that we must "quarantine the aggressors," and again this year, he said we must take steps "short of war" to defeat the enemy, meaning Hitler.

Thus to Mr. Roosevelt the present European war is no madcap imperial struggle for power. It is a holy crusade in which he would like to invest the material strength of the United States, keeping free, of course, from the stigma of sending American soldiers abroad. But seasoned political figures doubt that he can ally this nation with Britain and France *materially*, without defending that alliance *militarily*.

Because he is the figure most prominent in the fight to lift the embargo, Mr. Roosevelt must be willing to accept the consequences. As John T. Flynn, economic writer, pointed out last week: "The President of the United States can keep us out of the war. Or he can get us in. His power to reach the public mind, his opportunities for inflaming the people, for provoking them, frightening them, are great. His power to calm them, to protect their minds from inflammatory activities is great.

"Whether we go into this war or not is wholly and entirely now in his hands."

An Expert's Opinion

FRANK GERVASI, European correspondent of long standing, returned to this country recently, convinced that the European "democracies" are in no sense bound to American democracy through common ideals, and that to tie in with them in their present strife would be suicidal for the United States.

"If I have disliked anything from five years' experience as a correspondent covering the European news front," says Gervasi, "it is a conviction, first, that there is an abysmal gulf between us and European democracies, unbridged by our vaunted 'common ideals,' and, second, that Europe should be left to work out its own destiny.

"Through strict neutrality in spirit as well as in action, the United States can render civilization and democracy a service of incalculable value . . . "

Added Reason for Neutrality: U. S. Forces Need Building Up

THE NEWS: Two circumstances combined last week to add another argument against lifting the embargo on shipments of arms to belligerents in the present European war, as written in the United States Neutrality Act.

In New York City, Col. Frederick Palmer, writing for the North American Newspaper Alliance, recalled how in 1914-15-16, American munition and armament production was shipped wholesale to the Allies to aid their prosecution of war against Germany. As a result, he asserted, the United States "went into the World War without adequate weapons of any kind under a handicap which every soldier in a cantonment or in the A.E.F. has not forgotten, and most of all General Pershing."

And on the same day in Albany, Governor Herbert H. Lehman asserted that he was "shocked" by the lack of training and the lack of adequate modern equipment of the United States Army, as demonstrated in recent military maneuvers at Plattsburg, N. Y.

COMMENT: Truly, these circumstances cast a glaring spotlight on still another weakness of President Roosevelt's plan for the lifting of the arms embargo as a means toward "peace."

Who will deny that our national defenses need strengthening, now that war has become a reality abroad? Our army needs modern equipment; our army and naval aircraft supplies need replacement, and our ammunition stores need replenishment.

It was realized well in last winter's Congressional battle on rearmament that our aircraft factories could not, at the same time, fill the orders of Great Britain and France, and the orders of the United States Government. Will that condition be alleviated, now that war pressure has intensified the arms need of the Allies, and the needs of the United States?

In making his statement on defense, Governor Lehman added that "The (Plattsburg, N. Y.) maneuvers forcefully brought home to me the truth that in their present condition our armed forces could not possibly hope successfully to cope with the military power of any first class nation."

Thus, at a time when our own army and navy are in vital need of the output of our armament factories, our President and our Congress are wrangling over the best method of shipping these essentials abroad, leaving this nation in its present, weakened state. This outlook should afford the embargo-lifters some thought.

The Voice of Congress

Either from the *Congressional Record*, or communications directly to SOCIAL JUSTICE, these expressions of your Congressmen and United States Senators are given such deservedly wider distribution which publication in our columns will admit.

—EDITOR

There's No Doubt How Jefferson Would Vote

By HON. LOUIS LUDLOW

Representative from Indiana

THIS IS THOMAS JEFFERSON speaking: "Our first and fundamental maxim should be never to entangle ourselves in the broils of Europe.

"Our second, never to suffer Europe to intermeddle with cis-Atlantic affairs. America, North and South, has a set of interests distinct from those of Europe and peculiarly her own. She should therefore have a system of her own, separate and apart from that of Europe. While the last is laboring to become the domicile of despotism our endeavor should surely be to make our hemisphere that of freedom.

"I have ever deemed it fundamental for the United States never to take active part in the quarrels of Europe. Their political interests are entirely distinct from ours. Their mutual jealousies, their balance of power, their complicated alliances, their forms and principles of government are all foreign to us. They are nations of eternal war. All their energies are expended in the destruction of the labor, property, and lives of their people. On our part, never had a people so favorable a chance of trying the opposite system, of peace and fraternity with mankind, and the direction of all our means and faculties to the purposes of improvement, instead of destruction. With Europe we have few occasions of collision and these, with a little prudence and forbearance, may be generally accommodated.

"Our difficulties are indeed great, if we consider ourselves alone. But when viewed in comparison to those of Europe they are the joys of Paradise. And the system of government which shall keep us afloat amidst the wreck of the world will be immortalized in history. We have, to be sure, our petty squabbles and heartburnings and we have something of the blue devils at times, as to these raw heads and bloody bones who are eating up other nations. But happily for us, the mammoth cannot swim nor the leviathan move on dry land, and if we keep out of their way they cannot get at us. I expect that Europe will again be in a state of general conflagration. What a divine contrast is the calm of our condition to the volcanic state of that. How do our little party bickerings and squabbles shrink to nothing compared with the fire and sword and havoc of their arena of gladiators."

If Jefferson were living in the twentieth century, one could easily imagine him addressing his remarks to our friend, Sol Bloom, so well does he state the reasons why the Bloom (neutrality) bill with its capacity for involving us in the quarrels of Europe should not pass.

President Gave Warning in Chicago Speech

By HON. J. THORKELSON

Representative from Montana

I DISLIKE THE ROLE of a critic, yet even at the expense of appearing as one, I am constrained to call my colleagues' attention to past and present propaganda, which is for no other purpose than to prepare the United States for participation in the next European conflict. The President and others within the administration do not only favor, but seem to welcome such participation on our part. I refer first to an editorial in the *Washington Post*, April 11, which everyone should read, and I then quote from the same paper of April 12:

"*'I'll be back in the Fall, if we don't have a war!'*

"These words, spoken by President Roosevelt to the group assembled at Warm Springs to see him off for Washington, were seemingly wholly unpremeditated. * * * And no President was ever more skillful than Mr. Roosevelt in making the most of every opportunity to give a positive direction to public thinking on important issues. * * *

"In using the collective 'we' the President told Hitler and Mussolini, far more impressively than he told Warm Springs, that the tremendous force of the United States must be a factor in their current thinking. He told the Axis powers that the administration is far from indifferent to their plottings. He made it plain that a war forced by them would from the outset involve the destinies of a nation which, as they fully realize, is potentially far stronger than Germany and Italy united. * * *

"*April 12:* President Roosevelt stamped with his hearty approval yesterday a newspaper editorial calling for a 'preponderant show of force' by democratic nations to halt the dictators and prevent war. * * *

"The President ordered the editorial inserted in the minutes of his press conference, saying it was very good, very clear, and very honest. He said it had not been inspired by him but that he read it yesterday morning."

I can readily understand the source from which the editorial in the *Post* originated, and the motive for such propaganda, but there is not one sound reason why we should display a "preponderant show of force." It is absurd to threaten, and the adage, "Don't pull your gun unless you're going to shoot," is always true. Further, a nation with a national debt of over $40-billion is not in a position to engage in war and carry it to a successful conclusion.

Depression Fruit of World War

By HON. CHARLES A. EATON

Representative from New Jersey

THE UNIVERSAL STRESS sapping the resources of every civilized society today has its immediate cause in the baneful results of the World War. That conflict will, I believe, stand in history as the greatest single calamity that has ever befallen the human race. It has enthroned hate and hypocrisy, substituted fear and force for reason and justice in international relations, and has softened the moral fiber of the world.

To quote a recent summary, the World War cost nearly 10-million lives, more than 21-million men were wounded, nearly 8-million are missing, and a total money cost of over $300-billion. That tops even the New Deal deficit. It wiped out the Hohenzollern, the Hapsburg, and the Romanoff dynasties; it turned Russia bolshevist; it spread Communism over the earth; it gave the world Hitler and Mussolini; it bankrupted nations; it changed the boundary lines of 26 states; it made unemployment the chief world problem; it drove the world off the gold standard; and it filled the whole world with the poison of hatred and fear.

The application of modern science to the arts of destruction has made warfare a horrible nightmare of mechanical murder so destructive alike to victor and vanquished as to imperil the very foundations of society; and from now on it does not matter which side wins in any war, because both sides will lose.

Furthermore, with the modern world shrunken to a neighborhood, and with all races and nations in complete and continuous contact any major conflict anywhere becomes at once a world war, and its destructive force must instantly affect for evil neutrals as well as combatants.

War's Hysteria Has Us Again

By HON. HAMILTON FISH

Representative from New York

WE WERE TOLD 20 years ago we must go forth to make the world safe for democracy; that it was a war to end all wars, and we did our part and we turned the tide of defeat into victory and brought our soldiers home and asked for nothing and got exactly what we asked and nothing at all, no reparations, no indemnities, no plunder, and no conquered territory. Once again the cry is raised throughout this land, and this should not be a partisan matter, but we are in the midst of war hysteria, to such an extent that people are fearful we are to be attacked by some foreign foe, and the cry goes up again that we must go forth to make the world safe for democracy.

Expense Exceeds Western Wealth

By HON. GEORGE H. BENDER

Representative from Ohio

IN 1938 THE ENTIRE income of the western half of the United States, from the Mississippi River to the Pacific Ocean, was $17,696,000,000. A huge figure, indeed, yet in the same year Federal, State, and local governmental units of our Nation spent $18-billion.

When we pause to ponder these almost incredible figures, we are struck with their implications. The Western empire, with its vast output of gold, silver, copper, wheat, corn, milk, cheese, potatoes, beef, sugar, coal, and lumber earned less in one year than it cost to operate our Government.

And further analysis gives us no source of comfort. From every dollar earned by the producers of our national wealth in 1938, 30 cents went to maintain the governmental units which we have created.

To be sure, this money spent by our governing officials went to many a valuable cause. For one thing, it served to keep our needy from acute distress. But those who imagine that this was the major expenditure on our national budget are in for a rude surprise. Of every $6 expended by our spending officers, only $1 went for relief.

Almost every other large increase in our spending program can be accounted for by study of the amazing increase in the Government services added to our burdens by fruitful minds bent on augmenting the functions of Government in every area of public life.

In the last seven years 67 new Federal boards, commissions, administrations, authorities, and agencies have been created to supervise the conduct of our business life. From shelling peanuts to producing steel, not an industry has been intentionally omitted by our governing agents.

Recalling Some Bloom Bill History

By HON. GEORGE H. TINKHAM

Representative from Massachusetts

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE on Foreign Affairs has reported a bill which with cunning duplicity it terms a "neutrality bill."

This bill (the Bloom bill) is in no sense a neutrality bill.

It is exactly the reverse because it gives the President of the United States power to intervene in any war anywhere in the world at any time.

It is *a bill for war at the pleasure of the President.*

It provides for personal government of the most dangerous character.

It gives to one man arbitrary power with respect to a question which is most vital to the American people, the question of war or peace.

One of the many objections to the bill is that *it repeals the salutary provision in the present Neutrality Act which prohibits the exportation of arms and ammunition to nations at war.* As the British navy controls the sea, only Great Britain and her Allies could come to the United States to obtain war supplies. This makes the bill a British munitions bill. * * * It is abhorrent and damnable that the United States should become an arsenal for any nation.

If Congress passes this bill giving unlimited arbitrary power to the President to intervene in the wars of the world *it will bring war to the United States, if President Roosevelt remains in the White House.*

For more than a year and a half President Roosevelt has been talking constantly of war.

First came his so-called "quarantine speech" at Chicago in October of 1937, where he said there was no escape for the United States through mere "isolation or neutrality" and that the "peace-loving nations must make a concerted effort" to quarantine and stop the aggressors.

Last January, in a message to Congress, President Roosevelt stated that whereas words were futile, there were many methods of bringing aggressor nations to account. He failed to explain, however, how hostile methods could be kept from provoking war.

A few weeks later, at a secret meeting at the White House of the members of the Senate Committee on Military Affairs, the President was reported to have said that "America's first line of defense was in France."

In April, on his return from Warm Springs, Ga., and as a sequel to his widely quoted remark: "I'll be back in the fall if we don't have a war," the President publicly adopted an editorial in the *Washington Post* as exactly stating his foreign policy. This editorial said:

"By 'we' he undoubtedly meant western civilization. * * * In using the collective 'we' the President told Hitler and Mussolini * * * that the tremendous force of the United States must be a factor in their current thinking."

During all this time President Roosevelt and members of his Cabinet have been deliberately attempting to implant in the minds of the American people a hatred of certain foreign nations with which we are at peace, and doing their best to foster the belief that if Great Britain or France were attacked the United States would have to take part to save the democracies of the world.

The President's entire record with reference to our foreign policy, his utter disregard of the mandates respecting neutrality, and the ban on the exportation of munitions of war in our present Neutrality Act, his words and his actions demonstrate that he has not been neutral, is not neutral, and does not intend to be neutral. His assertion in a formal statement last February that our "foreign policy has not changed and is not going to change" was an insult to the intelligence of the American people and a brazen falsehood.

If the misnamed neutrality bill now before the House is passed and the President thereby obtains the personal power and authority he seeks, the consequence will be war for the United States.

Communists Foes of All Religion

By HON. CLARE E. HOFFMAN

Representative from Michigan

IN MICHIGAN IN THE 1938 election the Communists supported Governor Murphy openly and enthusiastically. In the City of Detroit at a municipal election Maurice Sugar was one of their candidates. Sugar has been twice convicted on his plea of guilty; once of draft evasion and once of circulating seditious literature. At present he is one of the principal attorneys representing the CIO affiliates in its labor controversies in Michigan. In support of him as its candidate the Communists made this appeal:

"To all who hate the smug priests of the Catholic Church; and the slimy hypocritical ministers of the Protestant Churches * * * To all who are opposed by this damnable Government, we address this message. Vote for our candidate (Maurice Sugar).

"Close the churches and make those buildings into shelters for homeless men and women. Down with religion, which is opium which the ruling class feeds you to keep you satisfied with the miserable existence which you lead. There is no God."

This nation of ours is a Christian nation. Our people believe in morality; in honesty; in temperance; in religion, and few, if any, in this House, unless they be Communists, would take from our people that hope of a hereafter, which is the lodestone which carries us at the end of each day cheerfully, hopefully, courageously to the tasks of tomorrow.

When women and men no longer can read the Bible; when children, yes, and grown women and men no longer are permitted to kneel, repeat the Lord's prayer or the ever-familiar words of "Now I lay me down to sleep" may God have mercy on our nation!

Money Supply Based on Debt

By HON. H. JERRY VOORHIS

Representative from California

THIS NATION DEPENDS for its supply of its circulating medium on the creation of demand bank deposits by the banks for the making of loans. In other words, the volume of our debt is the measure of our having a circulating medium at all. This is wrong and is due to the failure of Congress to exercise its constitutional duty of creating the money of the nation.

In the '20s private debt increased about $70-billion. Until 1933 the local governments' debt was increasing by about a billion dollars a year, which means there was that much expansion taking place in check-book money in the country. Since 1933 the local governmental debt has been declining. Private debt has declined. Federal Government debt is only a substitution for the failure of those other forms of debt to increase.

If you want to get rid of debt you have to establish a system for the creation of money by the Government, which is the only agency in the country that has a constitutional or moral right to create money.

Out of the Blue: Bullets, Balloons, —And Duck Eggs

ENGLAND—Residents of Ballachantuie, a hamlet in Argyle, Scotland, swear they heard an airplane and the burst of machine gun fire and that nickle-cased bullets rained on them. But, though the skies were sun-swept, no plane could they see.

* * *

EUROPE—The *Popolo di Roma* claimed a French plane was destroyed by a German "air mine": a captive balloon which, at the touch of a button on the ground, would explode with a force 20 times that of a land mine. The Italian newspaper failed to specify against what resistance the air mine would have to explode.

* * *

AMERICA—Busybodies told Newark, N. J., police that a Japanese spy lurked about with a bagful of bombs. The cops then descended on Thomas J. Ding, a Chinese, who was going about his business with a consignment of black-painted duck eggs, an Oriental delicacy.

Mr. LaGuardia Still Fighting Mystery Foes

THE NEWS: The New York police started a survey of Manhattan with reference to air raid shelter facilities in the business district. This was the third step of its kind taken since Sept. 1, when Mayor LaGuardia established a Board of Disaster Control to meet any emergencies arising out of the war situation.

Police Commissioner Valentine, chairman of the board, last week distributed a questionnaire among building owners calling on them to supply details as to location, type of construction, number of employes and visitors, etc., including data (question No. 7) on: "Number of basements and sub-basements and number of persons who could be sheltered therein in case of air raids or other emergencies."

COMMENT: The salient phrase in the "Little Flower's" diabolical catechism is "other emergencies." The boss of that American dependency known as New York City is following superior example in not passing up an opportunity for asserting his dictatorial rights.

Not even LaGuardia's fertile imagination can conceive of a transatlantic air raid sufficiently numerous and powerful to damage the fort-like cluster of lower and mid-Manhattan. But the comical politician does thoroughly understand—and for cause—the New Yorker's ever-present appetite for unrealistic lollypops, the Manhattanite's amazing readiness to believe in the improbable, if not in the impossible. And, like other egocentric leaders before him, Fiorello knows how easy it is to convince the people that a state of emergency exists, simply by repeating that it exists—and how easy it is thereupon to enforce regulations which under ordinary circumstances could never be enacted as laws.

Not for nothing does the "Little Flower" want to know what places of shelter Manhattan affords: when the day of "other emergencies" arrives, his OGPU and storm troopers will need to know the location of every tunnel and catacomb capable of sheltering embattled Christians.

Time-Worn Fables Are Fed To News-Starved Peoples

Propaganda Vies With Censorship

THE NEWS: British censorship over all war news emanating from the Western front has been made complete by placing one man as virtual "news dictator" over this sector of the present war.

The man, A. G. Clifford, is a correspondent for Reuters, the British newsgathering agency. His appointment was announced in London by the British Ministry of Information, and from now on American reporters will have to depend solely on this source for information concerning the Allied push on Germany.

COMMENT: The censor's blue pencil and scissors, at work on all war news emanating from Europe's theater of conflict, are bringing Americans a one-sided view of the second World War, a view that is dangerous to continued American neutrality.

Just as one man, an Englishman, represents the British Ministry of Information on the Western front and offers "handouts" to American reporters and others on the job, so all news concerning Allied campaigns must clear through the web of British censorship at London. This fact has put certain American newspapers on guard against a repetition of the error of 1914-18, when the British boasted that they could propagandize the United States into war—and made good their boast.

In the World War, a determined effort was made to snare American sympathy through atrocity stories, picturing the enemy as a butchering barbarian. The same stories are being trotted out today, in 1940-model dress, to capture American favor. Both sides are engaging in this business, but the advantage goes to Britain, for hers is the more clever and subtle propaganda.

"Truth is the first casualty of any war," and already the shining body of truth has been buried with full military honors in England, France, Germany, Poland and Russia. She will be heard from no more in the course of the present conflict. To date the American newspapers have been able to present to their readers only a collection of censor-drippings, fake-experting, and propaganda-loaded "official communiques." Some papers have been enlightened enough to tell their readers plainly that the censor has been at work on this stuff, and that its truth cannot be verified.

Germany, through the radio, a 20th century propaganda tool, may be able to puncture the wall of American pity to a greater extent than in the World War years. But the British, through a host of Anglophiles in high places in this country, and through favorable cable connections, will be able to play upon American sympathy with far greater effect.

Some Wild Tales on the Wild Air Waves

Already a propagandist-presented picture of the British cause has blotted out large sections of American common sense, and the old atrocity stories are appearing in the press with almost an alarming regularity. We read that a Nazi submarine, in the first 48 hours of the war, torpedoed and sank the *Athenia*, a British passenger vessel carrying 1,400 souls; yet nothing of an official, conclusive nature has been presented to prove that the Nazis did this horrible job; although the Nazi government has been vehement in denying responsibility for the catastrophe, and although at least one survivor has denied that any attack was made on the *Athenia* by a Nazi U-boat, or by any other vessel.

Then we read, through carefully censored German communiques, that the fleeing Poles murdered German nationals in Poland and committed atrocities on their own fellowmen.

Let's Have No Blackout Here!

—Cincinnati Post.

No Mercy, No Shame In the War of Lies

And again, on the British side, we are informed that German air raiders have bombed Polish open cities and hospitals, and have "strafed" civilians in the streets, leaving a trail of shattered corpses.

Same Old Yarns Will Be Repeated

In the World War, the British succeeded in painting the Germans as a horde of "huns," who violated nuns, crucified prisoners, bayonetted babies and boiled down the bodies of dead Germans to obtain oils and fat. These stories naturally attracted American sympathy and may be charged with much of the responsibility for pulling the United States into the war. But after the Armistice and peace treaty, when the handcuffs were taken off American and other journalists, it was learned conclusively that these horror stories were 98 per cent bunk, the rest gross exaggeration.

Today the old story is being repeated. President Roosevelt has advised an anxious nation that we "need not be neutral in thought." But we most certainly must be not only neutral, but intelligently neutral in our acceptance of propaganda-laden news reports from the Europe snake-box.

American intelligence has not yet succumbed to European trickery in the present war. The bands have not yet started to play, the flag has not yet been unfurled so that all may rally around, and khaki-clad recruiting agents have not yet taken their posts on street corners.

America is neutral, and must remain neutral, and if there must be censorship, it should be enforced on this side of the Atlantic, by alert American editors who by now are well-acquainted with the European lie, its history, origin and development. Let Great Britain and Germany censor American reporters in Europe if they must, but let American editors and newspaper readers censor the German and British censors by learning to detect lies and fakes, and to disregard them when identified as such.

Labor Now Weighs Responsibility of Preserving Peace

THE NEWS: John P. Frey, head of the Metal Trades Division of the American Federation of Labor, speaking before the convention of that A.F.L. division, advised his associates to support true neutrality and insisted that such a policy must not "work to the advantage of some warring nations, and to the disadvantage of others."

In the New Jersey State Federation of Labor convention, Matthew Woll, A.F.L. vice-president, warned that unless the civil warfare between the C.I.O. and the A.F.L. is terminated "there may be an end of the labor movement itself."

COMMENT: These two labor leaders have struck upon two of the most perplexing problems now facing labor. Labor pays the price of war—sends its sons to the front, while business contributes its brains. And, once this nation enters war, dictatorship at Washington will make short shrift of wages-and-hours legislation, social security, union guarantees and related reforms.

This is indeed the time for united labor action designed to prevent the entrance of America into the European war.

(We aim to make this a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine—EDITOR.)

Preserving Our Ideals

TO THE EDITOR:

I thoroughly agree with SOCIAL JUSTICE that all atheistic and communistic Jews are a menace to orthodox Judaism, of which I am a firm adherent, and to Christianity in general.

I wish to preserve the Christian and Jewish ideals of the past in the face of an increasingly hostile attitude on the part of ignominious members of society who smear the name of our Moses and your Jesus, our synagogues and your churches, our Old and your New Testament, our synonymous belief in a monotheistic Creator and our equal Americanism.

May I congratulate you and your magazine on its principles and policies.

—Mrs. Jacobris

Bronx, New York

Fruits of War

TO THE EDITOR:

We are confused by the aims of our statesmen in the conflict of European nations. The war cry of our last conflict was to rid the world of the Kaiser. The warriors who went to Europe hoping to accomplish this feat are now lying there with rotted bodies while the Kaiser lives. Germany is on its feet again fighting another war with its old rivals and the blame is again shouldered on one man, and the cry is "Kill Hitler."

Settling the conflict between Germany and Poland will not end the struggle. Other nations are preparing to right the wrong of the Versailles Treaty through still another conflict.

We who are not familiar with the ills of European countries should not take any part in it. We accomplished nothing in the last war except unemployment, hunger and self-destruction. Our participation in another conflict would weaken us internally and would give undemocratic forces a chance to control our government.

—Mrs. Pauline Zurl

Glendale, N. Y.

Blames Jewish Influence

TO THE EDITOR:

In Congress a few months ago, United States Senator Gerald Nye read the following statement. It is reprinted from the *New York Evening Journal* of August 9th, 1939:

"There remain the Jews.

"It has been estimated that of the world Jew population of approximately 15,000,000 no fewer than 5,000,000 are in the United States. Twenty-five per cent of the inhabitants of New York are Jews.

"During the Great War we bought off this huge American Jewish public by the promise of a Jewish National home in Palestine, held by Ludendorff to be the master stroke of Allied propaganda, as it enabled us not only to appeal to Jews in America but to Jews in Germany as well."

The British writer whom the Senator quoted intimated that the American Jews were mainly responsible for getting the Yankees to kill themselves for the Allies in 1918; while at the same time, their brother Jews in Germany stabbed their own fellow Germans in the back by stating that women and children back home were starving.

This, of course, broke German fighting morale.

Have we no way of curbing this influence which controls world opinion through the radio, press, movies and other channels?

—Richard R. Jones

Bronx, New York

Evil in Virtue's Guise

TO THE EDITOR:

Lloyd George in one of his far-flung speeches during the late war made the following statement: "When men by the millions are being called upon to suffer and die, and vast populations are being subjected to the sufferings and privations of war on a scale unprecedented in the history of the world, they are entitled to know for what cause or causes they are making the sacrifice. It is only the clearest, greatest, and justest of causes that can justify the continuance even for one day of this unspeakable agony of the nations."

Thus spoke the great Prime Minister, and yet this same Lloyd George is ready to plunge his countrymen and the whole world, if necessary, into the very same thing which he so ardently deplores in the foregoing speech. Can this gentleman, honestly and sincerely rise upon his feet, squarely face his countrymen and tell them without reservation of mind for what just cause he would again plunge his countrymen into the same bloody bath?

War in itself is bad enough, but when it is waged under the pretense of virtue no greater crime can be committed against the human race.

It is my confirmed conviction that, whatever may happen in poor old Europe, the United States has already made commitments that are bound to involve us in the fracas now in the making.

Even warmonger Winston Churchill said that had we kept our clumsy hands out of European affairs, we should not find ourselves in such a mess.

—Louis Dilger

Hyattsville, Md.

Government Pro-British

TO THE EDITOR:

I am sure that all Americans have noticed the marked pro-British trend of the Executive Branch of our Government. One of the most unfriendly acts toward a nation with whom we are at peace was the unprecedented and willful detaining of the liner *Bremen* for twenty-four hours on a trumped-up technicality.

It should also be noted that the ship was being searched for arms, although British shipping is entering and leaving our ports daily with guns mounted in full view on the stern of the ship. The British may arm their merchant and passenger vessels unmolested, but a German vessel is detained while our Government's employes search for the same thing.

Does the public know that more than thirty American cargo vessels are being detained in British harbors against their orders and that our State Department has failed to protest?

It does not require special intelligence to realize that our present Government in the United States is definitely pro-British in all its views and diplomatic exchanges.

—Vigilant

Philadelphia, Pa.

Are We Already In?

TO THE EDITOR:

Every word and every action of the Executive Department of our Government proves that we are now participants in the European war without benefit of the Congressional declaration of war demanded by the Constitution.

Is it not perfectly clear that we have some secret agreement with England, cemented by the visit of their Majesties last summer, whereby American participation in England's war without the formality of a declaration of war was assured?

This agreement, of course, was consummated by the Executive Department, the responsible head of which is the President.

An Executive who has exceeded his authority, who has assumed powers which the fundamental law of our land reserves to Congress, who has without consulting the Senate entered into secret undertakings with foreign countries, should be impeached.

—American

New York City

Denmark Can Do It

TO THE EDITOR:

War does not settle anything. After the last war a group of men gathered around the council table, partitioned Germany and laid restrictions upon her. Today she is almost as strong as ever.

If we will examine closely, we will see here in America, in our own communities, the beginnings of the same kind of dictatorship over business and the daily lives of our citizens, the same grasping for power by ambitious individuals, the same attempt to dictate to labor, as does Lewis when he insists that no man shall work until he has joined his union. Such tactics were the opening wedges for Hitler's present absolute control over the German people.

Let us first insure liberty for our own citizens here at home, the right of free speech and of a free press, of the individual and of business to acquire and hold property; to obtain and work at a job in private industry, free from dictatorship of union boss or unjust employer.

I believe in preparing to the utmost for national defense, in resisting not only dictatorship from abroad but dictatorship and the tyranny of an absolute ruler here at home. I believe in maintaining a constitutional form of government where the representatives of the people make the laws, those laws to be interpreted by the people's courts and executed by the people's servants. I believe in doing our best to solve the domestic problems which confront us and above all things, to avoid doing any act or uttering any word which will tend to cause American citizens to die on foreign soil.

If Denmark and Switzerland and other small nations close to the scene of the conflict can maintain their neutrality, so, too, can these United States and to that end I shall consistently direct my efforts.

—Rep. Clare E. Hoffman

Washington, D. C.

Pro-British Films

TO THE EDITOR:

Hollywood continues to produce propaganda pictures; and Hollywood still advances those policies which undermine any society not in sympathy with the activities of certain well-known cliques.

A vicious example of this campaign is the production of *"Edith Cavell"* recently completed at the RKO studios. It is nothing more or less than pure propaganda to arouse Americans to a pro-British attitude. The fact that the picture is misleading and inaccurate is beside the point. It is no picture to be released in America at this critical time, and the fact that it is being timed for this period shows the malicious forethought of its producers.

The important point is that this film is in reality a British product, financed by England and remade in America. It features an American star and is now being presented to United States citizens as if it were an American picture.

This duplicity should be exposed, for it appears that England is determined to use us in another European war.

—W. M. H. McConnell

Los Angeles, Calif.

A Word for 'Co-ops'

TO THE EDITOR:

In a letter to you, "O. S." of Cincinnati, warns of Co-operatives and protests against the implied support of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

I feel that it is an insult to the thousands of good Christians to be labeled Socialists by "O. S." simply because they are also Co-operatives. It is quite apparent that "O. S." knows little about Co-operatives or he would know better than to classify Co-operation as Socialism.

"O. S." is wrong when he says Co-operators aim to "socialize the ownership of property." They do aim to own and operate collectively *the means of production and distribution*, but to call this socialism would be the same as calling monks Communists because they live in communes and own property collectively.

Co-operators believe in individual ownership of all personal property, homes and many types of businesses, but also own in common the means of distribution and production particularly of the basic commodities.

I hope that "O. S." will find out for himself what wonderful advances Co-operation is making and what it can do to better the lot of the common man. Of course, in the case of those wealthy persons whose positions might be somewhat jeopardized by Co-operatives, I can readily understand why they would be against Co-operatives.

—S. F. Darling

Appleton, Wis.

Identifying Muriel

TO THE EDITOR:

I do not wish to bore readers by adding anything more to the comicalities of the "Muriel Flynn" nonsense, but it may adorn the tale to identify Muriel, which, I do not think, has been attempted up to now by any of your correspondents.

If Muriel is a Catholic, she can be found in every parish. She usually arrives at 12:25 for the 12:15 mass.

She pays her 10 cents pew rent at the door and slips a copper into the collection basket.

She belongs to none of the parish circles or societies of young women.

All pulpit discourses bore Muriel dreadfully.

Everything in connection with the operation of the parish is a trial to her.

Her chief topic after mass is criticism of the clergy. The good old pastor is a "money-grabbing extortionist," his curates "brash young vulgarians."

Muriel voted for Hoover in the Al Smith campaign to show her liberal-mindedness "—not that Smith isn't right, etc."

She has only one criticism of the Church—it is so hopelessly old-fashioned.

She throws a highbrow now and again by saying that she is sure that the Church will eventually yield to the pressure of modern life, and adopt cremation, permit mixed marriages, and make concessions to Margaret Sanger's concept of the procreative function.

Muriel reads *Life* and the tabloids, but window dresses with *The Nation* and a volume of Freud.

She is very sensitive to the musical shortcomings of most choirs in churches.

And so on and so forth.

If you don't recognize your Muriel now, you live in the perfect parish.

—Edmund Macauley

New York City

Another 'Confused Liberal' Defends Father Coughlin

TO THE EDITOR:

To "Confused Liberal," may I address these remarks.

It should be explained that when Father Coughlin uses the terms "atheistic Jew" or "international Jew" or "communistic Jew," he is in each instance presenting a qualification to distinguish these specific types of men from Jewry in general. If he were anti-Semitic, he would attack the Jew without submitting qualifying adjectives.

The sentiment which seems to offend the Confused Liberal is that Father Coughlin should specifically single out Jewish cliques rather than level his fire at all partisans of the anti-social fraternity, without regard to nationality or race.

Let it be clearly understood, however, that specific cliques of Hebrews do exist, and that although their number may be small, their potency is great because of their leadership over their race, considering the significant clannishness of the Hebrew people.

And as Father Coughlin is dealing only with obvious facts in the classification of truth for the sake of enlarged human understanding it is a clear-thinking observation in the interest of universal justice for him to segregate the offending Jew from the preponderant majority of assimilated Hebrews in America.

It is only by an acknowledgment of these unhappy distinctions that the American Jew can be saved from the contagion which seems to take so firm a hold on several individuals among their number.

It has always been an inherent weakness of the Hebrew to form cliques and clans and his undoing has always been that he is too ready to defend a Jew because he is a Jew rather than permit him to be condemned because he is unwholesome or anti-social.

In using the term "international Jew," Father Coughlin obviously means the clique of Jewish bankers which deals in usurious enterprises to gain dominance over the economic destinies of the world, and thereby achieve political and social control as well.

Father Coughlin or SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine would surely never permit the usage of such irritating expressions unless there were a great social necessity for admitting them in defense of the United States.

Neither Christian nor Jew can overlook the fact that Father Coughlin, in defending this glorious country, attacks only evil and those evil forces which tend to undermine human society under whatever name or mask they parade. He, thus, exposes evil in a specific classification and not by a general indictment.

—"Also a Confused Liberal"

Hollis, L. I., N. Y.

Nation's Loss in Depression $200-Billion

Economic Waste Due to Last War Could Build $6,000 Home for Every Family in the U.S.

THE NEWS: The National Resources Committee has reported to President Roosevelt that the United States, through seven years of depression, had suffered a loss of $200-billion.

The committee insisted that such a "luxury" as this loss could not be absorbed by the United States; it charged that failure to utilize fully the nation's vast resources ultimately would endanger its democratic institutions.

COMMENT: Here is predicted, by a high economic authority, the same outlook called to national attention more than five years ago by Father Coughlin.

Repeatedly Father Coughlin has called to mind the fact that the World War, in its inevitable aftermath, created the present depression; that continuing depressions would bring about reforms damaging to democracy, and that a new war—far from settling economic ills—would brighten conditions for a while, then plunge the nation into a deeper and more miserable depression, paving the way for Communism or totalitarian dictatorship.

The $200-billion lost in seven years of depression is a sum sufficient to provide a home costing $6,000 for every family in the United States. As the National Resources Committee pointed out, we could scrap the nation's railroad system and rebuild it five times with the money lost since 1932.

Failure to realize recovery has brought hardship in some degree to every American family and has succeeded in driving the traditional American standard of living down toward the standard suffered in Europe.

Will America, through its governing officials, invite the liquidation of democracy here through participation in Europe's present war? That will be the awful result if we participate—first economically, then physically by shipping soldiers to France.

A.A.A. Record vs. Farm Hopes of a War Boom

New Deal Unsuccessful in Combating Price Declines Resulting From Last War; Figures Show Failure

THE NEWS: In a two-day Congress at St. Paul, Minn., the Governors of seven North Central states laid the foundation of an agricultural bloc to deal with the ever more serious problems of the Middle Western farm belt. As a basis for a program, the governors approved a seven-point resolution, of which Point No. 5 commands popular interest:

"War will bring only temporary relief from present agricultural problems and may aggravate them in the long run."

COMMENT: The anxious executives who convened in the Minnesota capital Sept. 22-23 asserted a truism which every farmer who could read and write in 1919 will accept without need of proof or elaboration. War will temporarily boost prices — indeed, Iowa already last week was rejoicing in prospects of dollar corn and ten-cent hogs. But any war-born prosperity now is going to prove a far more damaging boomerang than the farm bonanza of a quarter century ago. After the joy ride ends, the old problems will rise up again like fumes over the idle acres—and will Washington then find a chemical strong enough to dispel them?

A simple way, and the only logical way, of evaluating this grave problem is to regard it not in terms of war-time probabilities—as the short-sighted already are encouraged to do by the usurers—but in terms of the New Deal's six-year record, which the figures below present in black and white.

	1933 Oct. 23	1939 Aug. 26
Wheat	78.5	69
Cotton	9.18	8.61
Corn	40.7	44.7
Rye	50.5	41.2
Butter	24.7	24.2
Hogs	4.22	6.18
Beef	5.92	9 88

That is the Triple-A's balance. Today, these figures are being affected by war-time conditions; their rise and fall depends no longer on national, but on international developments. And as they rise, the Triple-A will be quick to take the credit. It will be well, therefore, to consider what six years of theorizing, planning, experimentation and hog-killing have written into the bank ledger. It will be wise to translate this picture into a darker future—a future of war-born chaos, with the New Deal's professors still theorizing, planning and striving hysterically to prove that 2 plus 2 equals 22.

'Peace With Justice' Not an Empty Phrase

Pope Pius XII Was Envoy for Benedict in World War Negotiations

When Pope Benedict XV, during the World War, sent his Nuncio to Bavaria, Eugenio Pacelli (now Pope Pius XII) to Bethmann-Hollweg, the papal emissary obtained a pledge of the restitution of Belgium and cessation of Alsace-Lorraine. The Nuncio also found the German Kaiser willing to enter peace negotiations.

On the basis of these preliminaries, observes the *Catholic News of* New York, the Pope proposed a peace on the formula of restitution of occupied territories. But Germany threw a wrench into the machinery by insisting on the partition of Belgium, while the embarrassed Allies were unwilling to surrender their "war aims." The only way war could end, it became apparent, was by complete surrender of one side, as in Russia.

"On Jan. 8, 1918, President Wilson proposed peace on the basis of his Fourteen Points. Germany refused. Eventually, on Oct. 3, 1918, a desperate Germany offered an immediate armistice, to be followed by negotiations based on Wilson's Fourteen Points. But by then even this basis for negotiation of a peace was thrown into the discard.

"Looking back upon these fruitless efforts at peace it is easy to see that if there had been any sense at all among the leaders of the warring nations there should have been a realization of the dangers in store for the world.

"Now Pope Pius XII, who as Nuncio tried to effect a peace in 1917, is laboring to keep the world from another mad catastrophe. In an address to the new Belgian Ambassador to the Holy See last week the Holy Father, recalling his own steps in behalf of peace, said that up to the last moment before the outbreak he had not omitted doing all that he could by praying, by public exhortations, and by reiterated confidential steps to warn the leaders of the danger and to induce them to 'loyal and peaceful negotiations on the basis of justice and love which alone are solid and lasting.'

"Justice, the Pope explained, should be granted to strong and weak powers alike; it should be honorable for all to protect everyone's vital rights and to safeguard the tranquility of nations; and it should be accompanied by love because only in this way could rights be permanently safeguarded, never to be forgotten and violated again."

The Lusitania Scandal

Propaganda Which Used Ship Incident Not Unlike Present Crisis

At a time when the American people are being propagandized in the interest of foreign nations feverishly arming for war, it might be well to review the tragedy which did more than any other single thing during the World War to veer this country warward. This was the sinking of the *Lusitania*. Many incidents connected with that affair are made plainer by Dr. Peterson's *"Propaganda for War,"* which the forthright *San Francisco Leader* calls "the best expose of the bamboozlement of the United States that has been printed."

On the day the vessel left New York the German ambassador inserted in the New York press a warning that taking passage on it was dangerous. It was a British commerce ship constructed with government funds as an auxiliary cruiser and was expressly included in the navy list published by the British admiralty.

Practically none of the passengers had any serious reason for going abroad at that time. "Those who did have legitimate reasons for undertaking the trip could have secured passage on an American ship leaving New York the same day." An element of defiance of the Germans is assigned as one of the reasons why some Americans took the risk.

Lusitania Carried Munitions of War

"The major part of the material shipped on the *Lusitania* was munitions of war." In the cargo were 2,400 cases of rifle cartridges and 1,250 cases of shrapnel. The rifle cartridges contained an estimated ten or eleven tons of black powder. These munitions were enough to kill a probable 1,600 and a possible 3,150,000 men. A cargo of non-combatants were being used to protect war supplies.

Again, "the *Lusitania* was following a route which it had been specifically instructed to avoid." The report of the captain of the submarine stated that but one torpedo was fired. The propagandists, intent on developing the theme of "German barbarism," attempted to make people believe the second explosion was caused by a second torpedo. This attempt "soon encountered difficulties. The Germans contended that there was no second torpedo but that the munitions had exploded. This counter statement caused the British to drop the second explosion . . ."

In other words, had there been no munitions there would probably have been no loss of life. For the giant ship, which was equipped with devices to render her unsinkable, went to the bottom in eighteen minutes; and this when she was but nine miles off the Irish coast.

Report Asserts Crew Disappeared

"In addition to the rapidity of the sinking," continues Dr. Peterson, "the inefficiency of the crew contributed in no small measure to the heavy list of casualties. One survivor, in speaking of the order to stop lowering the lifeboats, commented on the fact that immediately afterwards the sailors disappeared. The crew was far from being efficient in the handling of the lifeboats and in the taking care of the passengers during the short time available between the explosion and the sinking. Part of this was due to the fact that there had been no lifeboat drills on the trip across the Atlantic."

"The ineffectiveness of the crew in all probability was largely the fault of the captain"—a man named Turner, a relief captain. "After the explosion of the torpedo Captain Turner and Captain Anderson were both calling in stentorian tones not to lower away the boats . . . saying that there was no danger and that the ship would float. Previous to this, Mr. Turner had disobeyed many of the instructions given him. He was plying a course which he had been instructed to avoid. The ship was running at a reduced speed in a dangerous area where speed would have made the difference between safety and disaster, as proved by the unsuccessful attempts of the U-20 to sink fast boats." (This submarine could only make about 12 knots an hour.) "The portholes were left open; the lifeboats had not been swung out, and no lifeboat drills had been held."

The propagandists lost no time in getting into action. A luckless German struck off a few medals. The British, pretending that this was by order of the German government, reproduced the medal in large numbers and distributed it throughout the world. A British commission whitewashed everything and everybody connected with their side of the case.

"The American newspapers, already won to the British cause, aided in befogging the real issue. The subject of the cargo was dismissed or given but scant attention, and in order to play up community of interest between the Allies and the United States, there was apparently a deliberate attempt to induce people to believe that the boat was American. Finally it was seldom made clear that Americans comprised but a small per cent of the casualties. For instance, the *London Times* stated: 'About four-fifths of her passengers were Americans.'" This would have meant about 1,000 Americans. Actually there were but 197. Of these 128 were drowned.

This is but a sketchy outline of an affair which bore momentous results. It by no means covers all the points that might be made for the German side of the case.

The American people were deceived, deluded, bamboozled then. They are being deceived, deluded, bamboozled now and the process is accelerated by the addition of the radio and the newsreel to the vehicles of propaganda.

LISTEN, MY SON!

Veteran's Letter Says the Word 'War' Ought to Be Barred from Polite Usage

THE COMMENTATOR, one of the best of the "pocketsize magazines," and of which Lowell Thomas is editor, has a remarkable article in the August issue which deserves the widest reading. It is an open letter from a World War veteran to his son in the Marines. The author is Baynard Kendrick, who was the first American to volunteer for the Canadian Army in the World War. SOCIAL JUSTICE quotes, with permission, some portions of this remarkable letter.

My Dear Son:

I'm glad you're in the Marines. You'll be trained, and well trained, if we happen to go completely nuts again and get into a war. You'd have to go anyhow, so what the hell! You'll be a good soldier—you already have a couple of medals for handling rifle and pistol. I hope no kid as nice as you from another country ever has to stand in your way.

If we do get into war, all your training as a Marine will never put an inkling into your head of what you're fighting for. Twenty-five years hasn't changed that — or twenty-five hundred years! Wars just don't work that way. They're built of bands and banners, girls and boys, and damn-fool leaders.

My education in the niceties of hostile nations came to me first hand at about your age, my son. It didn't sit well with me. I wouldn't recommend it for the consideration of doting mothers who really love their boys. *Life isn't the only thing that is lost during a war.*

It might prove most salutary if children were taught at a tender age that army life during hostilities has the odor and general glamor of a world-wide *latrine*. Parents would hesitate so readily to say, "His country needs him!" if they thought that war was making a privy-cleaner out of their precious boy . . .

You'll save your face, and mine, but you'll lose everything else, and probably be slaughtered like a damned sheep if the big guns on your battleship start vomiting shells in a war.

This isn't nice talk I'm giving you in the accepted sense of the word, because there isn't anything nice when you're talking about war. Strangely enough the word "war" itself has been painted over with a falsely romantic flavor. *It's high time somebody threw it on the dung hill where it belongs.* Words fall into disrepute through their connotations. Certain pungent four-letter words which little boys write on walls are barred from polite company entirely. Not so with "war."

Once I said I'd never go back. I've changed my mind today. Perhaps a few million fathers will change their minds with me. I'll make a dicker with the Government—I'll go if it will release some kid like you.

War is a job for nincompoops and idiots. I, and every father with a grown son in the country, belong in that category if we let the United States get into another one. If we do, I'll go, but I want them to let you alone. I'd feel like a lousy, low-down heel to give such a job to my boy.

Affectionately,
Dad

Open Letter to Borah

(Continued from Page 5)

dangerous to our neutrality, for those on whom we look too kindly have most to gain from our embroilment. Their propagandists, working hand in hand with unscrupulous interests are already hard at work *in an attempt to poison this country with their masked lies and to draw us once again into that maelstrom beyond the Atlantic!* I am, therefore, firmly convinced that it would be to our advantage as well as yours, in this fight to preserve our democratic ideals, *to continuously and publicly emphasize the danger of sympathizing either with Britain or Germany, which in effect would mean completely turning our interests from the quarrel abroad and minding the business of America here.* We should put our own house in order first.

If the Congress would make an immediate contribution to peace, *let it immediately in clear and unmistakable language serve public notice upon the Allies that although they may have a share of our sympathy, they can expect no material or moral support inconsistent with a strict neutrality!* The quarrel abroad can yet be mediated and the misery of millions averted if *those who would profit from the continuance of war were indicted for their treachery.* Sad indeed that this misery has been unleashed. It is our business to stop it if possible *by refusing to condone either side in this most unjust of wars which cannot but result in a worse menace—Communism!*

As a vulture on the side-lines the "neutral" Stalin waits for *his* moment of victory; and he will be the *only* victor if this European war continues to rage. Our *strict neutrality* will be the most powerful influence for peace, both at home and abroad, which might be exercised by the President.

This Is Time for Noble Action

Now is the time for vigorous and strictly neutral action by the Congress, for all true Americans, all denominations, for all who believe in Christ as against anti-Christ and who hold that our business is the American business—now is the time, not tomorrow, for these groups to impose their united legislative will in *demanding that the arms embargo be retained at all costs;* to checkmate the designs of the profiteers and the warmongers; to row the Ship of State away from the dangerous whirlpool of war into the calm waters of neutrality and peace.

If it should be true as has been alleged that a secret pledge to the Allies has already been given for our involvement, *let us, the American people, repudiate that pledge here and now!* Let us first of all, in a spirit of intense Americanism, refuse to permit the repeal of the arms embargo, whether it be through the Bloom Bill or otherwise, *demanding outright of our legislators that it be retained intact!* Americans will gladly make the sacrifices which a strict neutrality will impose at home rather than to see their flesh and blood in the futile trenches of Europe again. . .

Let us take up the slogan of hope rather than that of meek submission and despair. *We can, we will, and we are unalterably determined to stay out of Europe's war! Woe to those, who, by one method or another already known by key-Americans, would attempt the betrayal* of our beloved country!

Let us discover and unmask the forces of anti-Christ, who would conspire against America from within.

My dear Senator, I have taken the liberty of herewith publicly expressing *as a citizen and not as a clergyman, as an American first, last and always,* but a few general opinions which I believe you will recognize to be vitally important and useful in your courageous campaign against the un-American forces within our borders. You have made a bold step forward in this direction and I am confident that no one man in Washington today is more qualified to lead us to victory in this momentous session of Congress.

Take Your Fight to the People!

Take your fight to the people; form, if you will, a National Board for the Preservation of Peace and Strict Neutrality; do anything and everything honorable, toward the favorable settlement of this issue. You will find us united and determined, aggressively supporting the principles for which you are standing to the bitter end. In separate notes to both Senators Gillette and Herring of Iowa, I am setting forth a firm declaration by the majority of *our* constituents, asking that they stand firm against the lifting of the embargo and subscribe generously to the measures outlined in this letter.

We are determined as conscientious American citizens that the majority will in the State of Iowa shall not be balked. I pray God that I have done my duty as a loyal citizen in setting forth this appeal, *and that others will not be ashamed or afraid to do their part for the success of this struggle.* In thus publicly committing myself, I do not expect to make any friends but *American* friends; I do expect that some will declare bitterly against me and that still others by their silence will attempt to nullify my action. *For a just cause is rarely upheld by the world or the worldly.*

I am not in any sense motivated by a spirit of disunity in opposing the suggestions of our beloved President, but merely exercising a God-given right *to see differently on the same issue* in a country where freedom is yet more than a dream. If democracy is the rule of, by, and for the people, *in my humble opinion it is a greater crime to keep silent than to come forward and differ honorably with a fellow-countryman.* The stakes are high in this game, the best of our youth, the tears, the anguish of our mothers, wives and sweethearts bereaved by war, the enslavement of our great America, the ruin of morality and the collapse of all western civilization are but a few of the horrors awaiting, if, through criminal negligence in the exercise of our rights, we should lose the battle. *No time now to stand on ceremony. America for Peace! America for Americans! Strict neutrality for America!* These are the cries which you now take up, and behind them you will find our people rallying as never before.

Very Respectfully Yours,
Most Rev. Francis J. Beckman

The League of the Little Flower Requests You to pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God." ROMANS 15:30

ILL

CONNECTICUT
Norwich
Miss Mary Smith

ILLINOIS
Chicago
Louella Cautley
James J. Egan
Mrs F. J. McGuane
Newton
Matilda M. Raet

MASSACHUSETTS
Braintree
Wm. J. Aucoin

NEW YORK
Brooklyn
Mr. Dann
Flushing
Rose Lennon

PENNSYLVANIA
Erie
Mr. and Mrs. Samuel Baker
Pecksville
Agnes Lacotta
Philadelphia
Nathaniel J. Madison

DECEASED

ILLINOIS
Chicago
Mr. Stephen McKenna

IOWA
Burlington
Edward Hauser

KENTUCKY
Louisville
Anna Marie Zoeller

MASSACHUSETTS
Brockton
Theodore Dufault
Medford
Frank and Julia Busteed

Edward, Margaret and Michael Corbett

MINNESOTA
St. Paul
Mary L. Clancy

NEW YORK
Flushing
Mrs. Julia M. Bowe
Schenectady
James F. Dunn
Woodside, L. I.
Mary A. Collins

OHIO
Cincinnati
Mr. Joseph Klein

PENNSYLVANIA
Scranton
Mr. Daniel Vaugin

Divine Heart of Jesus convert sinners, save the dying, deliver the holy souls from purgatory (Indulgence of 300 days, every time Pius X. Nov. 6, 1906)

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

Benefits: 1. You are remembered every morning at Mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a Special Mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

Obligation: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

Privileges: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

Fees: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year.

The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Mich.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed ..... dollar(s) as membership fee for the League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

Name .....

Street .....

City and State .....

'Good-Bye, Jim. Take Keer O' Yer'sef'

WHEN James Whitcomb Riley wrote his famous poem about the Civil War, things were not the same as now. In those days, men fought like men, and each man had his chance. He really could take care of himself.

Not so in modern war. Now the individual soldier is but a cog in a tremendous, highly mechanized fighting machine. All thinking is done for him according to plans laid out beforehand. His chances of escaping death or maiming is not a matter of caution, but sheer luck.

There are a hundred thousand white crosses in France today bearing American names — boys who could not take "keer" of themselves against the blight of mechanical war—and for what?

TO GLUT THE GREEDY PAUNCHES OF THE WAR-MONGERS!

They are counting on YOUR boy today.

Once more the blood-brokers of international finance are knocking at the doors of American homes — and asking for the lives of youth.

Father Coughlin is dedicating himself to the monumental task of keeping America out of foreign war. He is doing this by carrying on the battle of his career—against revision of the present neutrality law.

You are with Father Coughlin in this terrific struggle, are you not?

Then help him through it. Every weekly broadcast of Father Coughlin must be paid for at full commercial rates, and mostly in advance. He has no other sources of revenue except proceeds of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine and the contributions of his friends.

Your contribution to the Broadcasting Fund is sorely needed these days. Whether you are able to send $1, $2, $3, $5, or, if you are in better circumstances, $10, $20, $50 or $100—send it now.

The coming few weeks will mark a turning point in the history of our country. Christianity hangs in the balance. Liberty itself is at stake.

Will you help—now?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939-1940 Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $..... which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before Oct. 12 and on or before Nov. 12.

My Name Is .....

My Address Is .....

City or Town..... State.....

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

EDEN MAN OF MYSTERY IN BRITISH WAR CABINET

IS HOPE OF LEFTISTS WHO SEE POLICIES AS RESERVE ALTERNATIVE

LONDON, ENGLAND—There is growing doubt as to the exact position of Mr. Anthony Eden in the new British War Cabinet. That doubt is of significance in relation to Britain's own position in Europe—and the world.

Mr. Eden has been appointed Secretary of State for the Dominions and, as such, is the channel through which all communications are made to the Dominions. It is his duty to keep the Dominions informed of the progress of the war and the course of events in all spheres affecting the Dominions and the Motherland. Presumably, he has full ministerial discretion as to what he reports and how he reports it.

Mr. Eden has a large following in England. During the long crisis before the actual declaration of war there had been open agitation for his inclusion in the Cabinet. Against this were repercussions from the continent of Europe. Italy, for one, would not welcome his elevation to any office that brought him into official contact with her affairs.

The Dominions office offered a neat solution—it pleased Eden's supporters and avoided foreign complications. This reasoning would stand good but for the fear which has been aroused by the fact that Eden's position in the War Cabinet has purposely been left vague.

There is a very definite impression that the discredited and dangerous policy known as "Edenism" ***is being held in reserve as an alternative.*** This impression may well lead to reservations abroad in accepting Great Britain's present major policy at its face value.

Foreign opinion has never adequately assessed the volume and kind of support that Eden has achieved amongst certain sections of the British people. By these sections, predominantly leftist, he is regarded as the man who battled for "the sacred principles of the League of Nations" and as the David who stood up to the Goliath of dictatorship and was unsuccessful merely because some naughty people took his sling away.

Russia, which these leftist Englishmen had regarded as truly democratic, has shocked them by linking up with German Naziism. Deserted by Russia, with their League gasping *in extremis*, these pathetically short-sighted idealists have only Eden left to believe in. They look to him to restore their faith.

That position is not funny; it is dangerous! With this quite vocal volume of support, Mr. Eden may once more resume the path of policy which already has cost Britain so much in the Mediterranean — and elsewhere.

ANTHONY EDEN
'HE CONTROLS THE NEWS'

LAST CHANCE AT 'COLUMBIAN' CONTEST

All Fifty Questions on Pages 10 and 11